

# Unexpectedly rapid aerosol formation in the Hunga Tonga plume

Elizabeth Asher, Michael Todt, Karen Rosenlof, Troy Thornberry, Ru-Shan Gao, Ghassan Taha, Paul Walter, Sergio Alvarez, James Flynn, Sean Davis, et al.

► To cite this version:

Elizabeth Asher, Michael Todt, Karen Rosenlof, Troy Thornberry, Ru-Shan Gao, et al.. Unexpectedly rapid aerosol formation in the Hunga Tonga plume. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2023, 120 (46), 10.1073/pnas.2219547120. hal-04301363

## HAL Id: hal-04301363 https://hal.univ-reunion.fr/hal-04301363

Submitted on 24 Nov 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### Unexpectedly rapid aerosol formation in the Hunga Tonga plume

- 2
  3 Elizabeth Asher<sup>1,2a\*</sup>, Michael Todt<sup>1,2b</sup>, Karen Rosenlof<sup>2</sup>, Troy Thornberry<sup>2</sup>, RuShan Gao<sup>2</sup>,
- 4 Ghassan Taha<sup>3,4</sup>, Paul Walter<sup>5</sup>, Sergio Alvarez<sup>6</sup>, James Flynn<sup>6</sup>, Sean Davis<sup>2</sup>, Stephanie Evan<sup>7</sup>,
- 5 Jerome Brioude<sup>7</sup>, Jean-Marc Metzger<sup>8</sup>, Dale F. Hurst<sup>1,9</sup>, Emrys Hall<sup>1,9</sup>, Kensy Xiong<sup>1,9</sup>
- 6

- 7 Elizabeth Asher <u>easher@noaa.gov</u>
- 8 Michael Todt <u>michael.todt@colorado.edu</u>
- 9 Karen Rosenlof <u>karen.h.rosenlof@noaa.gov</u>
- 10 Troy Thornberry troy.thornberry@noaa.gov
- 11 RuShan Gao <u>rushan.gao@noaa.gov</u>
- 12 Ghassan Taha <u>ghassan.taha-1@nasa.gov</u>
- 13 Paul Walter pauljw@stedwards.edu
- 14 Sergio Alvarez <u>slalvare@central.uh.edu</u>
- 15 James Flynn jhflynn@central.uh.edu
- 16 Sean Davis <u>Sean.M.Davis@noaa.gov</u>
- 17 Stephanie Evan <u>stephanie.evan@univ-reunion.fr</u>
- 18 Jerome Brioude jerome.brioude@univ-reunion.fr
- 19 Jean-Marc Metzger jean-marc.metzger@univ-reunion.fr
- 20 Dale F. Hurst <u>dale.hurst@noaa.gov</u>
- 21 Emrys Hall Emrys.Hall@noaa.gov
- 22 Kensy Xiong <u>kensy.xiong@noaa.gov</u>
- 23
- <sup>24</sup> <sup>1</sup> Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder,
- 25 216 UCB, Boulder, CO 80309, United States
- <sup>2</sup> NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, United States
- <sup>3</sup> Morgan State University, 1700 East Cold Spring Lane McMechen Hall Rm 635, Baltimore,
- 28 MD 21251, United States
- <sup>4</sup> NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Rd, Greenbelt, MD 20771, United States
- <sup>5</sup> St. Edward's University, 3001 South congress, Austin, TX 78704, United States
- <sup>6</sup> University of Houston, 4800 Calhoun Rd, Houston, TX 77004, United States
- <sup>7</sup> Laboratoire de l'Atmosphère et des Cyclones (LACy), UMR8105, CNRS, Université de La
- 33 Réunion, Saint-Denis, France
- <sup>8</sup>Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de la Réunion, UAR 3365 (CNRS, Université de la
- 35 Réunion, Météo-France), Saint-Denis, France
- <sup>9</sup> NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory, 325 Broadway, Boulder, CO 80305, United States
- 37 <sup>a</sup> Now at: NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory
- 38 <sup>b</sup> Now at: Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI), Helsinki, Finland
- 39 \*Corresponding author
- 40
- 41 **Classification:** Physical Sciences, Atmospheric Sciences
- 42
- 43 Keywords: stratospheric aerosol, rapid aerosol formation, SO<sub>2</sub>, volcanic plume, Hunga Tonga
- 44 eruption
- 45
- 46

### 47 Abstract

The Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (HT-HH) volcanic eruptions on Jan. 13 and 15, 2022
 produced a plume with the highest signal in stratospheric aerosol optical depth observed since

50 the eruption of Mt. Pinatubo in 1991. Suites of balloon-borne instruments on a series of launches

50 from Réunion Island intercepted the HT-HH plume within two weeks of the eruptions, yielding

52 observations of the aerosol number and size distribution, and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and water

- 53 vapor ( $H_2O$ ) concentrations. The measurements reveal an unexpected abundance of large
- 54 particles in the plume, constrain the total sulfur injected to approximately 0.20 Tg, provide
- 55 information on the altitude of the injection, and indicate that the formation of sulfuric acid
- aerosol was complete within three weeks. Large H<sub>2</sub>O enhancements contributed as much as

 $57 \sim 30\%$  to ambient aerosol surface area and likely accelerated SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation and aerosol formation

rates in the plume to ~ 3 times faster than under normal stratospheric conditions.

### 59

### 60 Significance Statement

Large volcanic eruptions can play an important role in Earth's radiative balance through stratospheric injections of sulfur dioxide that form sulfate aerosol. Here, we show that in situ observations are critical to constrain the injection mass of stratospheric sulfur and the stratospheric lifetime of sulfur dioxide. Such information is needed to better represent aerosol microphysics and improve predictions of the impacts of natural (or potentially anthropogenic) sulfur dioxide injections. Measurements in the fresh volcanic Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai plume in January 2022 revealed that stratospheric aerosol formation ended ~3 times faster than is

typical in the presence of a large amount of water vapor, resulting in a high signal in aerosolextinction from an abundance of large particles.

70

71

#### 73 Main

74 Volcanic plumes that reach the stratosphere can influence Earth's radiative balance and 75 are a significant driver of climate variability (1). Under background conditions, sustaining the 76 stratospheric aerosol burden requires the addition of ~ 0.1 Tg sulfur (S) yr<sup>-1</sup> from the oxidation of 77 carbonyl sulfide and sulfur dioxide  $(SO_2)$  (2), while stratospheric transport (3) and a variety of 78 localized aerosol processes (4) contribute to heterogeneity in aerosol number and size.

- 79 Simulating an eruption's impact on stratospheric aerosol requires either knowledge or
- 80 assumptions of its injection height and mass (5), plume composition, location, and atmospheric
- 81 state. In situ measurements within one to three weeks of an eruption can provide critical
- 82 information for improving these assumptions.
- The energetic eruption of the underwater Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai (HT-HH) volcano 83 84 (20.54 °S, 175.38 °W) on Jan. 15 (04:00 UTC) (6), together with a smaller eruption on Jan. 13
- 85 (15:20 UTC), injected an estimated 150 Tg water vapor (H<sub>2</sub>O) (7) and  $0.41 \pm 0.01$  Tg SO<sub>2</sub> into the stratosphere (7, 8). The combination of its explosivity and the extraordinary amount of H<sub>2</sub>O
- 86 87 injected into the stratosphere make the Jan. 15 eruption unique in the satellite era. Estimated
- 88 injection heights for these two eruptions ranged from 20 km on Jan. 13 to > 30 km on Jan. 15,
- 89 and the SO<sub>2</sub> plumes quickly overlapped, making them difficult to distinguish (8). Radiosonde
- 90 measurements reveal enhanced H<sub>2</sub>O between 19 km and the maximum altitude of balloon
- 91 soundings, near 30 km (9). The HT-HH aerosol layer generated the highest signal in
- 92 stratospheric aerosol optical extinction since the eruption of Mt. Pinatubo in 1991 (10). Given the 93 relatively small injections of  $SO_2(7, 8)$  by the HT-HH eruptions, the large signal in aerosol 94 extinction sparked questions regarding the initial S injection (11), the role of H<sub>2</sub>O in rapid
- 95 aerosol formation in this plume and its timeline (12, 13).

96 In situ observations of particle number concentration and size distribution complement 97 space-based aerosol retrievals. For the first three months after the HT-HH eruption, the Ozone 98 Mapping and Profiler Suite-Limb Profile (OMPS-LP) sensor onboard the Suomi National Polar-99 orbiting Partnership (S-NPP) satellite supplied a continuous global record of the main volcanic 100 plume's altitude between 16 - 30 km, its horizontal extent and its impact on stratospheric aerosol 101 optical depth (sAOD) (10). The Tonga volcano Rapid Response Experiment (TR<sup>2</sup>Ex) provided 102 high-resolution vertical profiles with relatively low uncertainty of SO<sub>2</sub> (14) and H<sub>2</sub>O (15, 16) to 103  $\sim$  30 km altitude and information on the aerosol size distribution in the main plume, which 104 cannot be reliably inferred from either satellite or ground-based measurements. Here, we 105 leverage a combination of these in situ measurements and OMPS-LP retrievals (17) to address 106 questions regarding the HT-HH eruption's impact on the lifetime of SO<sub>2</sub> and the magnitude and altitude of the initial sulfur injection.

- 107
- 108 109

#### 110 **Results**

111 **1.Rapid Response Insights** 

112  $TR^{2}Ex$  was a unique deployment of a suite of balloon-borne instrumentation that

113 repeatedly analyzed the composition of the volcanic plume 7 - 10 days after the second, larger

- 114 HT-HH eruption. Sampling the fresh HT-HH plume yielded in situ observations of aerosol size
- 115 distribution, SO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>O at several pivotal times during its evolution (see Methods, Section 1
- 116 for details; Table S1). In situ measurements from this campaign allow us to quantify the S
- 117 gas/particle phase partitioning within the plume, study the vertical distribution of the S injection,

118 and explore the role of stratospheric  $H_2O$  enhancements in increasing ambient aerosol size and 119 extinction.

120 Portable Optical Particle Spectrometer (POPS) (18) measurements during TR<sup>2</sup>Ex show 121 the impact of the HT-HH eruption on aerosol dry mass and extinction (enhancements ranged 122 from two to three orders of magnitude), driven by high concentrations of large accumulation 123 mode aerosols in the volcanic plume (Fig. 1; see Methods, Section 2 for details). By the time the 124 plume reached La Réunion seven days after the second eruption, wind shear had stretched the 125 initial injection into a thin slanted layer of varying thickness as it moved west (19). Positive 126 altitude gradients in easterly windspeeds resulted in progressively shorter transit times with 127 increasing altitude. TR<sup>2</sup>Ex instruments were unable to measure two isolated optically thin 128 volcanic aerosol layer segments detected above 30 km by space-based and ground-based remote 129 sensing instruments (10, 19)— as these were above the operation ceiling of balloon sondes. Parts 130 of the aerosol layer between 25 km and 28 km (Fig. 1c-d) corresponded to a region with a 131 substantial H<sub>2</sub>O enhancement (Fig. S1). Particle number concentration of both large and small 132 particles (up to 1.5  $\mu$ m) was as much as three orders of magnitude higher than in unperturbed air 133 masses. The mode of the size distribution occurred at  $\sim 560$  nm diameter, and the aerosol 134 effective radius exceeded 0.3  $\mu$ m (Fig. S2). With H<sub>2</sub>O enhancements of ~340 ppmv in this part 135 of the plume, H<sub>2</sub>O contributed ~ 15% to the aerosol diameter at ~ 560 nm and ~ 30% to the total 136 aerosol surface area (Fig. S3; see Methods, Section 2). Differences in aerosol surface area impact 137 both extinction and stratospheric chemistry (20), highlighting the importance of calculating 138 ambient aerosol size related to the HT-HH eruption. The air was much drier (< 17 ppmv H<sub>2</sub>O) in 139 the part of the plume below 25 km, which contained an elevated number concentration of 140 particles < 700 nm in diameter. The highest number concentration below 25 km occurred at the 141 smallest particle size (Fig. 1e-f), and the aerosol effective radius was not noticeably different 142 from baseline values (~  $0.2 \mu m$ ; Fig. S2). Larger particles appeared more often in the wetter, 143 higher altitude parts of the aerosol layer, presumably due to shorter SO<sub>2</sub> lifetimes and particle 144 coagulation within the plume (12).

145 On three occasions, simultaneous in situ measurements of SO<sub>2</sub> and aerosol in the volcanic plume reveal varying rates of aerosol formation. Particles in the plume are presumed to be 146 composed of sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) formed from SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation and are designated estimated 147 148 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) (see Methods, Section 2 for details). Comparisons are shown between the mass 149 mixing ratios of S in eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 2a-c). The altitudes of SO<sub>2</sub> enhancements and aerosol 150 accumulations correspond well, and the mass mixing ratios of S in eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> exceeded those of S 151 in SO<sub>2</sub> on two of three flights. Outside of the fresh HT-HH plume, the SO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure was 152 below the detection limit of the SO<sub>2</sub> sonde. If SO<sub>2</sub> gas phase oxidation had proceeded at its 153 typical rate (i.e., an e-folding stratospheric lifetime,  $\tau_{strat} = \sim 30$  days) (21) after the two HT-HH eruptions, we would expect a  $\leq 30\%$  estimated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) aerosol to  $\geq 70\%$  SO<sub>2</sub> split (by S 154 155 mass) on Jan. 25,~ 10.5 days after the second, larger eruption. In the wetter, higher altitude 156 region of the plume, measured on Jan. 22, eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosol accounted for 90% of the total S in the plume (Fig. 2d), implying a  $\tau_{strat} = \sim 3$  days. In a drier part of the aerosol layer encountered on 157 Jan. 24 at 22 km,  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol constituted 68% of the total S ( $\tau_{strat} = \sim 8$  days), and on Jan. 25 158 at 20 km, only 35% of the total S ( $\tau_{\text{strat}} = \sim 24$  days). We infer that SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation in the fresh 159 160 plume proceeded at different rates as a function of H<sub>2</sub>O, namely more quickly where H<sub>2</sub>O mixing 161 ratios were higher due to an increased concentration of hydroxyl radicals (22, 23). These 162 measurements also provide information on the vertical distribution of the S injection (i.e., the 163 sum of the S in both SO<sub>2</sub> and in <sub>e</sub>H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosol; Fig. 2d). The total S column mass of the higher

altitude part of the plume measured on Jan. 22 was nearly four times that of the lower altitude

- part of the plume measured on Jan. 24 and 25, suggesting that the majority of SO<sub>2</sub> was injectedabove 25 km (Fig. 2d).
- 167

168 2. Aerosol burden and stratospheric lifetime ( $\tau_{strat}$ ) of SO<sub>2</sub>

169 Ouantifying the S burden in the tropical HT-HH aerosol layer helps constrain the 170 stratospheric S injection and the  $\tau_{strat}$  of SO<sub>2</sub>, which are critical for model validation and have 171 widespread implications for stratospheric chemistry. The calculation relies on the relationship 172 between the aerosol S column and sAOD calculated using POPS size distributions on launches 173 from La Réunion and on OMPS-LP retrievals of sAOD (see Methods, Section 3). As the plume 174 moved westwards,  $TR^2Ex$  launches sampled its core on Jan. 22 – 23 and trailing edge on Jan. 24 -25 (Fig. 3). The S in the <sub>e</sub>H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosol layer grew from 0.03 Tg S on Jan. 18 to 0.15 Tg S on 175 176 Jan. 26 and reached a maximum of 0.18 Tg S on Feb. 3 (Fig. 4a). We estimate that on Jan. 23, ~ 177 3/4 of the S mass was located in the higher altitude part of the aerosol layer, west of La Réunion 178 (Fig. 4a; Methods, Section 3). Leading up to the eruptions (i.e., on Jan. 10), the S burden in 179 background  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol was < 0.001 Tg S. This result suggests that rapid aerosol conversion

- 180 took place: within ~ 19 days, all the  $SO_2$  released from the eruptions, corresponding to as much
- 181 as 0.18 Tg S (8), was oxidized and converted to particles ( $\geq$  140 nm). By tracking the
- accumulation of S in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol, we calculate the average  $\tau_{strat}$  as ~ 10 13 days in the plume
- 183 (see Methods, Section 4; Fig. 4b). We note, however, that if a sizeable fraction (e.g., 0.09 Tg) of
- 184 the aerosol mass were not composed of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, this would yield a longer estimated  $\tau_{strat}$  (~ 14 –
- 185 17 days) given the same SO<sub>2</sub> injection. A short  $\tau_{strat}$ , compared with the typical value of one
- 186 month under climatological stratospheric conditions, helps explain the rapid production of large
- 187 particles in the HT-HH plume and signals greater availability of the hydroxyl radical to react
- 188 with methane and trace gases in the stratosphere (12, 22, 23).189

### 190 Discussion

191 A rapid response to large or unusual volcanic eruptions with in situ observations can 192 provide insight into the resulting aerosol microphysics, complement space-based aerosol 193 retrievals (7, 8, 10), and be essential to evaluate models. Together with satellite retrievals of 194 sAOD, POPS vertical profiles of particle size distributions enable the calculation of the aerosol 195 layer's S mass and the mean  $\tau_{strat}$  of SO<sub>2</sub>. We determined that  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  formation was complete 196 within three weeks, which is consistent with a maximum effective radius (> 0.4  $\mu$ m) observed in 197 early February (Fig. S2). In situ measurements of SO<sub>2</sub> and <sub>e</sub>H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and calculations of the aerosol 198 layer's eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> mass provide evidence that the bulk of the total S was injected above 25 km, 199 which cannot be easily deduced from satellite retrievals of  $SO_2$  and aerosol extinction (8, 10).

- 200 These observations also indicate that  $SO_2$  oxidation and aerosol conversion occurred at varying
- rates within the plume, corresponding to localized  $H_2O$  enhancements. Radiosonde
- 202 measurements confirm that H<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratios within the plume spanned more than an order of
- 203 magnitude (< 100 ppmv to > 1000 ppmv) (9). Climatological lower stratospheric mixing ratios in
- the tropics do not typically exceed 4-5 ppmv (24). SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation and aerosol conversion took place ~ 3 times faster, on average, than under climatological stratospheric conditions. SO<sub>2</sub>
- 205 place ~ 3 times faster, on average, than under climatological stratospheric conditions.  $SO_2$ 206 oxidation accelerates substantially in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O enhancements (12, 22, 23, 25). A short
- 200 oxidation accelerates substantiary in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O enhancements (12, 22, 25, 25). A shore
- 207  $\tau_{\text{strat}}$  of SO<sub>2</sub> reflects the heightened oxidative capacity of the atmosphere, with important
- 208 implications on stratospheric chemistry and composition.

- 209 Our measurements clarify the contributions to aerosol extinction from H<sub>2</sub>O after the HT-
- 210 HH eruptions, which has spurred discussion in the scientific community (10–13). We caution
- against conflating a response in the aerosol extinction with a similar change in aerosol mass for
- two reasons:  $H_2O$  contributed ~30% to aerosol extinction in the fresh HT-HH plume. Light scattering efficiency is closely related to aerosol size, with a maximum efficiency (per unit
- volume) at 500 nm diameter (20). Due to the 560 nm diameter mode of the measured aerosol size
- distribution mode, the HT-HH aerosol layer resulted in a high sAOD relative to its injected mass.
- Radiosonde measurements show similarly elevated  $H_2O$  throughout the plume between Jan. 20
- and Feb. 1 (one quarter of the observations between 26 28 km altitude from all vertical profiles
- 218 during this period had  $7 \le H2O \le 130$  ppmv) (26), signifying widespread implications for
- $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  particle size and the S mass in the higher altitude part of the aerosol layer. Particle size
- distributions show that differences in the peak and shape of the size distribution result in substantial differences in aerosol extinction (e.g., Fig. 1). Ouestions remain about how H<sub>2</sub>O
- substantial differences in aerosol extinction (e.g., Fig. 1). Questions remain about how H<sub>2</sub>O and other compounds reaching the stratosphere during an eruption might influence aerosol
- microphysics, including the propensity for new particle formation, condensation onto existing
- 224 particles, and particle coagulation.

225  $TR^{2}Ex$  serves as a roadmap for future rapid response campaigns to volcanic eruptions and other stratospheric perturbations. Campaigns such as TR<sup>2</sup>EX further the understanding of aerosol 226 227 processes in the stratosphere and inform models predicting climate impacts under a variety of 228 past and potential future conditions. Stratospheric aerosol injection (SAI), one proposed method 229 of climate intervention, would entail a large anthropogenic addition of stratospheric aerosol. The 230 suite of instruments described here is capable of identifying potential SAI implementations, 231 providing insight into the aerosol composition (i.e., sulfate or other) and hygroscopic effect, and could enable quantifying the mass (and altitude) of SAI. 232

# 233234 Methods

235 1. Rapid Response Overview

236 POPS in situ observations (18) of aerosol size distributions were made as part of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Earth Radiation Budget program's 237 238 Baseline Balloon Stratospheric Aerosol Profiles (B<sup>2</sup>SAP) project (27). The B<sup>2</sup>SAP project 239 combines intensive periods of operation (IOP) with routine baseline measurements in the 240 northern and southern hemispheres. For the Tonga volcano Rapid Response Experiment (TR<sup>2</sup>Ex) 241 between Jan. 21 and Jan. 26, B<sup>2</sup>SAP IOP activities were coordinated with additional sonde and 242 lidar measurements at the Maïdo Observatory (28) on La Réunion (21 °S, 55 °E). TR<sup>2</sup>Ex balloon 243 payloads consisted of either: (A) a POPS, a sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) sonde, an Electrochemical 244 Concentration Cell (ECC) ozonesonde and a radiosonde, or (B), an ECC ozonesonde, a Compact 245 Optical Back-scatter Aerosol Detector (COBALD) instrument and a radiosonde (Table S1; COBALD, ozonesonde, and lidar measurements are discussed elsewhere (19). The ground-based 246 247 lidars and the COBALD provided information on aerosol extinction (18) and backscattering, 248 respectively. Unfortunately, quantitative information on the aerosol depolarization in the plume 249 during TR<sup>2</sup>Ex does not exist because the lidar at the Maïdo observatory was not calibrated for 250 depolarization. Subsequent POPS and NOAA Frost point Hygrometer (FPH) launches in 251 February, March, and June 2022 at the Maïdo Observatory were part of what are now routine 252  $B^{2}SAP$  soundings (Table S1) (27). 253 SO<sub>2</sub> sonde (14) measurements have lower uncertainty and considerably better vertical

resolution than satellite SO<sub>2</sub> retrievals (8), particularly after an energetic volcanic eruption. A

- 255 modified ECC ozonesonde, the SO<sub>2</sub> sonde removes ozone from the sample prior to detection
- 256 using a filter, allowing stratospheric as well as tropospheric SO<sub>2</sub> to be quantified using pre-flight
- 257 calibrations (14). The SO<sub>2</sub> sonde has a ~ 25 sec response time, similar to that of an ozonesonde.
- 258 SO<sub>2</sub> data (Fig. 2) reflect a correction for this time (and altitude) lag (29, 30). CFH (31) and FPH
- 259 (16) instruments measuring  $H_2O$  were used to calculate ambient particle size, and Ozone
- 260 Mapping and Profiler Suite-Limb Profile (OMPS-LP) retrievals (17) were used to calculate the 261 volcanic plume's aerosol burden, as described below.
- 262
- 263 2. The POPS measurements and inherent assumptions

264 Particle sizing inherently requires assumptions about particle morphology and refractive 265 index related to aerosol composition (18). Here, we assume that particles are spherical and composed of sulfuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and water, with a corresponding refractive index of 1.45 at 266 405 nm (the wavelength of the POPS laser), leading to a reported particle number and size 267 distribution between 140 nm - 2.5 µm in diameter. Telemetered data are quality assured based 268 269 on available engineering parameters, including the instrument temperature, measured with a 270 thermistor located on the POPS laminar flow element, and the instrument flow (27). Particles are 271 expected to be at (or close to) equilibrium with the instrument temperature at the time of 272 detection, given a particle transit time of 60 - 90 ms. We note that POPS particle transit times 273 exceeded modeled timescales required for aerosol growth or evaporation within the plume, in 274 line with similar calculations from Kovilakam and Deshler (32) and Jonsson et al. (33).

275 The S in the  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol column mass and mass mixing ratios are calculated from the 276 measured aerosol size distribution and particle density. The aerosol weight (wt.%)  $_{\rm e}$ H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> can be 277 determined using the partial pressure of  $H_2O$  at a range of temperatures (34–37). We apply the 278 formulation of Tabazedah et al. (37), which is suitable for low temperatures observed in the 279 lower stratosphere (when  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4} \leq 80$  wt. %) and is based on Steele and Hamill (35), and the 280 expression of Gmitro and Vermeulen when  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4} > 80$  wt. % (34). In the stratosphere, 281 particles have a calculated  $\geq 80\%$  wt%  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  at the time of detection due to instrument 282 temperatures (268 – 278 K) that are considerably higher than ambient stratospheric air ( $\Delta T = 50$ 283 - 75 K). Particle density at the time of detection is both wt.% and temperature dependent (38-284 40). We use two parameterizations valid for temperatures between 233 – 298 K given different 285 wt. % (39, 40).

286 Ambient particle diameter is calculated according to Steele and Hamill (35) from the 287 measured (dehydrated) particle diameter, the particle wt. % and density both at the time of 288 detection and in ambient air, assuming that the particle was at equilibrium in both cases, and that 289 only water (not H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) was lost from the particle during sampling (Fig. S2a). Measured aerosol 290 size distributions were averaged into 100 m altitude bins, to improve counting statistics and 291 facilitate the requisite merges with frost point hygrometer data from other launches. Possible 292 errors in measured particle sizing are driven primarily by Mie resonances (18). Uncertainty in 293 H<sub>2</sub>O (<=6%), air temperature (< 1%), and uncertainties in the parameterizations of the wt. % and 294 density contribute additionally to possible errors in calculated ambient sizing (Fig. S2), and the 295 calculated S in eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> mass mixing ratios and column mass (Fig. 2).

As in situ size distribution measurements provide no information on aerosol composition, we cannot rule out the possibility that aerosol could have consisted of ash or some other material, such as sea salt, coated (or internally mixed) with  $H_2SO_4$ . Contributions from HNO<sub>3</sub> aerosol or mixtures containing HNO<sub>3</sub> and  $H_2SO_4$  were considered but dismissed based on equilibrium calculations (33, 41, 42). Although volcanic lightning on Jan. 15 (43) may have injected NO 301 directly into the stratosphere, HNO<sub>3</sub> hardly condenses at temperatures  $\geq$  220 K observed in the 302 plume, despite large enhancements in stratospheric H<sub>2</sub>O.

The assumptions made here regarding particle composition and morphology are supported by space-based Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) retrievals and geostationary satellite RGB-Ash composite imagery within the first few days of the HT-HH eruption on Jan. 15 (11). CALIOP retrievals showed particles with low depolarization, indicating spherical H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> particles moving westward towards La Réunion on Jan. 20. The geostationary satellite RGB-Ash composite images depicted a concomitant light green SO<sub>2</sub> plume (with little to no ash) during this period.

310

311 3. Calculating the aerosol column and plume S burden

312 Vertical profiles of aerosol extinction at 997 nm, corresponding to the native wavelength 313 of the OMPS-LP sensor, are calculated using publicly available Mie codes (44, 45) and the 314 calculated particle size distribution in ambient air. POPS stratospheric ambient aerosol optical 315 depth (sAOD) is the sum of calculated ambient aerosol extinction above the tropopause. Similarly, the POPS stratospheric S column in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol (g S m<sup>-2</sup>) at a single geographic 316 location is quantified as the sum of the S in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol (µg S m<sup>-2</sup> air) in each 100 m altitude 317 bin above the tropopause. A baseline value of the S column in <sub>e</sub>H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosol, calculated from a 318 319 vertical profile outside the plume on Jan. 23 (14 UTC), was subtracted from each measurement 320 that encountered the plume to determine the S in the aerosol layer (g S  $m^{-2}$ ). Because SO<sub>2</sub> 321 measurements were below the SO<sub>2</sub> sonde's limit of detection outside the plume, no similar 322 subtraction of the S column in SO<sub>2</sub> under baseline conditions was made following integration for 323 comparisons in Figure 2d.

324 A linear regression between the POPS calculated ambient sAOD and S in eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> column 325 mass enables global retrievals of OMPS-LP sAOD to be used to infer the S column mass across 326 the entire aerosol layer and track the S aerosol burden as the plume evolves. Estimates of the 327 eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosol layer mass, based on relationships between the POPS measured (dehydrated) 328 sAOD and POPS stratospheric S column ( $\pm$  uncertainty) and the calculated ambient sAOD and 329 POPS stratospheric S column ( $\pm$  uncertainty) over La Réunion between Jan. 22 and Jun. 9 are 330 shown in Figure S4a. The reported uncertainty in OMPS-LP sAOD signal in the stratosphere 331 (10% at  $\lambda = 997 \text{ nm}$ ) (17) is negligeable compared to the differences in the slope and intercept 332 POPS sAOD vs. mass relationships (Fig. S4a). We note that recent debate with respect to 333 potential OMPS-LP retrieval biases (46) is beyond the scope of this work but would lead to a 334 lower eH2SO4 aerosol layer mass. The maximum value of daily sAOD from the OMPS-LP sensor 335 on Jan. 10 (50 °S – 50 °N) was used as the sAOD threshold to identify the geographic extent of 336 the tropical HT-HH aerosol layer (Fig. S4b); its median (0.0025) was used as a background 337 sAOD value in the tropics. The linear relationships from Fig. S4a were applied to each daily sAOD value in the aerosol layer to infer the S column (g S m<sup>-2</sup>) in each (2° latitude x 24° 338 339 longitude) grid cell. The resulting S column using the relationship between the ambient sAOD 340 and S in eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> column mass is shown in Figure 3. For reference, the H<sub>2</sub>O plume extent, 341 calculated from MLS retrieval levels between 10 and 46 hPa using MLS H<sub>2</sub>O anomalies between 342 Jan. 21 – Jan. 23 (defined as the median  $\pm$  3 x the mean absolute deviation) is also shown in 343 Figure 3c, compared to the aerosol layer.

The S column was then multiplied by the area of the corresponding geographic grid cell (m<sup>2</sup>) and summed to determine the total stratospheric S burden (Tg S) over that area. By applying the relationships from Fig. S4a to the background sAOD value, multiplied by the

- 347 aerosol layer's geographic area, we similarly calculated the corresponding S burden under
- 348 background conditions in each case. The S burden under background conditions was then
- 349 subtracted from the total stratospheric aerosol S burden to yield the volcanic aerosol layer's S
- burden  $\pm$  uncertainty (Tg S) shown in Figure 4. The uncertainty in the S burden (the shaded
- black region) reflects the range of the estimates shown in Figure S4a. The S mass in the upper
- part of the plume was approximated as the sum of the S burden (in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol) west of La Réunion (55° E), when the higher altitude part of the aerosol layer was last observed on Jan. 23
- 354 00 UTC (Fig. 1d)(19). La Réunion is located near the latitudinal edge (48° E) of two adjacent
- 355 OMPS-LP grid-cells. Thus, this estimate represents the arithmetic mean
- 356 ( $\pm$  the standard deviation) of the S burden in the adjacent OMPS-LP grid cells centered at 36° 357 E and 60° E (Fig. 4).
- 358
- 359 4. Estimating the SO<sub>2</sub> lifetime
- By monitoring the aerosol loading following an eruption, we can estimate the initial injection of S and subsequently deduce the stratospheric lifetime ( $\tau_{strat}$ ) of SO<sub>2</sub>. We assume that all the SO<sub>2</sub> is converted to H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>:
- 363

364

$$1.\frac{d[H_2SO_4]}{dt} = -\frac{d[SO_2]}{dt}, \text{ and } [SO_2] = [SO_{2t=0}] - ([H_2SO_4] - [H_2SO_{4t=0}])$$

365 366 Making these substitutions into the first order rate law  $\frac{d[SO_2]}{[SO_2]} = -kdt$  and integrating 367 demonstrates that the amount of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> produced depends on the initial injection of SO<sub>2</sub> and on 368 the background H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> burden (together with any initial injection of aerosol) and enables us to 369 calculate  $\tau_{\text{strat}}$  of SO<sub>2</sub> through linear regression against t (elapsed days since 4:00 UTC on Jan. 370 15), where *k* is the slope of the line and  $\tau_{\text{strat}} = 1/k$ :

371372

2. 
$$ln \frac{[SO_{2t=0}]}{[SO_{2t=0}] - ([H_2SO_4] - [H_2SO_{4t=0}])} = kt$$

----

373

374 The initial injection of S, SO<sub>2 t=0</sub>, is based on satellite retrievals of SO<sub>2</sub>, which are slightly greater 375 than the maximum accrual of S in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol after the eruption (0.190 Tg; Fig. 4a).  $\tau_{strat}$  was 376 calculated using a range of values for the initial SO<sub>2</sub> injection  $(0.195 - 0.215 \text{ Tg S in SO}_2)$ . Prior 377 to the eruption (e.g, on Jan. 10), the initial S burden in the aerosol layer is close to zero (0.0005 378 Tg S in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$ ), which is used as the value for  $H_{2}SO_{4}_{t=0}$ . If as much as 0.09 Tg of aerosol mass 379 were not composed of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.03 Tg S is in 0.09 Tg eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) this would result in an estimated 380  $\tau_{\text{strat}}$  of 15-17 days. Equation 2 ignores a potential time lag required for either particle formation or aerosol growth through condensation to particle diameter  $\geq 0.14$  µm from H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> gas, 381 382 considered negligible in this case.

383

### 384 Data Availability

The processed POPS aerosol size distribution data from all launches used in this study may be found under the supporting data tab for this manuscript at

- https://csl.noaa.gov/projects/b2sap/data.html, where processed SO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O data from TR<sup>2</sup>Ex,
- and processed daily files of OMPS-LP sAOD and MLS H<sub>2</sub>O plume areas and anomalies from 21
- 389 hPa are also available. Raw OMPS-LP and MLS H2O data may be found at

390	<u>http</u>	s://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/OMPS_NPP_LP_L2_AER_DAILY_2/summary and
391	https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/ML2H2O_004/summary?keywords=aura, respectively. Code	
392	is p	ublicly available at https://github.com/elizabethasher/hthhPY.
393		
394	Fui	nding Information
395		This work was supported by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
396	(NC	DAA) Earth Radiation Budget program. The authors acknowledge the European
397	Cor	nmunities, the Région Réunion, CNRS, and Université de la Réunion for their support and
398	contributions in the construction phase of the research infrastructure OPAR (Observatoire de	
399	Physique de l'Atmosphère de La Réunion, including Maïdo Observatory). OPAR is presently	
400	funded by CNRS (INSU), Météo France, and Université de La Réunion and managed by OSU- R	
401	(Observatoire des Sciences de l'Univers de La Réunion, UAR 3365). Development,	
402	maintenance, and analysis of the OMPS-LP aerosol product are supported by the NASA Earth	
403	Science TASNPP (grant # 80NSSC18K0847) and SNPPSP (grant # 80NSSC22K0157)	
404	programs. Opinions, findings and conclusions contained herein reflect the authors' views, not	
405	thos	se of NOAA.
406		
407	Op	en Access
408	-	An open access license has not been selected.
409		•
410	Competing interests	
411		The authors declare no competing financial interests.
412		
413	Ma	terials and Correspondence
413	Corresponding author Elizabeth Asher (Elizabeth asher@noaa gov) should be contacted	
A15	with any questions or requests for meterial	
415 //16	vv 1ti	any questions of requests for material.
417		
418	Rof	erences
419	KU	
420	1.	S. Solomon, et al., The Persistently Variable "Background" Stratospheric Aerosol Laver
421		and Global Climate Change. Science <b>333</b> , 866–870 (2011).
422	2.	A. Feinberg, et al., Improved tropospheric and stratospheric sulfur cycle in the aerosol–
423		chemistry-climate model SOCOL-AERv2. Geosci. Model Dev. 12, 3863–3887 (2019).
121	2	M H Hitchman M McKay C P Tranta A alimatology of stratographeric percent I
424	э.	M. H. Hitchman, M. McKay, C. K. Trepte, A climatology of stratospheric aerosof. J. Geophys. Res. 00, 20680 (1994)
423		Geophys. Res. <b>99</b> , 20089 (1994).
426	4.	S. Kremser, <i>et al.</i> , Stratospheric aerosol-Observations, processes, and impact on climate:
427		Stratospheric Aerosol. <i>Rev. Geophys.</i> 54, 278–335 (2016).
428	5.	L. O. Muser, et al., Particle aging and aerosol-radiation interaction affect volcanic plume
429		dispersion: evidence from the Raikoke 2019 eruption. Atmospheric Chem. Phys. 20, 15015-
430		15036 (2020).

- 431 6. C. J. Wright, *et al.*, Surface-to-space atmospheric waves from Hunga Tonga–Hunga
  432 Ha'apai eruption. *Nature* 609, 741–746 (2022).
- 433 7. L. Millán, *et al.*, The Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai Hydration of the Stratosphere. *Geophys.*434 *Res. Lett.* 49 (2022).
- 8. S. A. Carn, N. A. Krotkov, B. L. Fisher, C. Li, Out of the blue: Volcanic SO2 emissions
  during the 2021–2022 eruptions of Hunga Tonga—Hunga Ha'apai (Tonga). *Front. Earth Sci.* 10, 976962 (2022).
- 438
  438
  439
  439
  439
  430
  430
  430
  430
  431
  431
  432
  432
  433
  434
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
  444
- 440 10. G. Taha, *et al.*, Tracking the 2022 Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai aerosol cloud in the upper 441 and middle stratosphere using space-based observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* (2022) 442 https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL100091 (October 2, 2022).
- 443 11. B. Legras, *et al.*, The evolution and dynamics of the Hunga Tonga–Hunga Ha'apai sulfate
  444 aerosol plume in the stratosphere. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.* 22, 14957–14970 (2022).
- Y. Zhu, *et al.*, Perturbations in stratospheric aerosol evolution due to the water-rich plume
  of the 2022 Hunga-Tonga eruption. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 3, 248 (2022).
- 447 13. M. R. Schoeberl, *et al.*, Analysis and Impact of the Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai
  448 Stratospheric Water Vapor Plume. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 49 (2022).
- 449 14. S. Yoon, *et al.*, Development and testing of a novel sulfur dioxide sonde. *Atmospheric*450 *Meas. Tech.* 15, 4373–4384 (2022).
- 451 15. H. Vömel, T. Naebert, R. Dirksen, M. Sommer, An update on the uncertainties of water
  452 vapor measurements using cryogenicfrost point hygrometers. *Atmospheric Meas. Tech.* 9,
  453 3755–3768 (2016).
- 454 16. E. G. Hall, *et al.*, Advancements, measurement uncertainties, and recent comparisons of the
  455 NOAAfrost point hygrometer. *Atmospheric Meas. Tech.* 9, 4295–4310 (2016).
- 456 17. G. Taha, *et al.*, OMPS LP Version 2.0 multi-wavelength aerosol extinction coefficient
  457 retrieval algorithm. *Atmospheric Meas. Tech.* 14, 1015–1036 (2021).
- R. S. Gao, *et al.*, A light-weight, high-sensitivity particle spectrometer for PM2.5 aerosol
  measurements. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 50, 88–99 (2016).
- 460 19. A. Baron, *et al.*, Early Evolution of the Stratospheric Aerosol Plume Following the 2022
  461 Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai Eruption: Lidar Observations From Reunion (21°S, 55°E).
  462 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 50, e2022GL101751 (2023).

- 20. D. M. Murphy, *et al.*, Radiative and chemical implications of the size and composition of
  aerosol particles in the existing or modified global stratosphere. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*21, 8915–8932 (2021).
- 466 21. M. Höpfner, *et al.*, Sulfur dioxide (SO2) from MIPAS in the upper troposphere and lower
  467 stratosphere 2002–2012. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.* 15, 7017–7037 (2015).
- 468 22. A. N. LeGrande, K. Tsigaridis, S. E. Bauer, Role of atmospheric chemistry in the climate
  469 impacts of stratospheric volcanic injections. *Nat. Geosci.* 9, 652–655 (2016).
- 470 23. J. H. Seinfeld, Pandis, Spyros N., *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution*471 *to Climate Change* (John Wiley & Sons, 2006).
- 472 24. D. F. Hurst, *et al.*, Recent divergences in stratospheric water vapor measurements by frost
  473 pointhygrometers and the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder. *Atmospheric Meas. Tech.* 9,
  474 4447–4457 (2016).
- 475 25. Y. Zhu, *et al.*, Persisting volcanic ash particles impact stratospheric SO2 lifetime and
  476 aerosol optical properties. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 4526 (2020).
- 477 26. Vömel, Holger, Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai stratospheric water vapor from Vaisala RS41
  478 radiosondes https://doi.org/10.5065/P328-Z959 (September 25, 2022).
- 479 27. M. A. Todt, *et al.*, Baseline Balloon Stratospheric Aerosol Profiles (B<sup>2</sup> SAP)- Systematic
  480 measurements of aerosol number density and size. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres*,
  481 e2022JD038041 (2023).
- 482 28. A. Baron, *et al.*, "Early evolution of the Hunga Tonga Volcanic Plume from Lidar
  483 Observations at Reunion Island (Indian Ocean, 21°S, 55°E)" (display, 2022)
  484 https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu22-13599 (February 5, 2023).
- 485 29. H. Vömel, *et al.*, A new method to correct the electrochemical concentration cell (ECC)
  486 ozonesonde time response and its implications for "background current" and pump
  487 efficiency. *Atmospheric Meas. Tech.* 13, 5667–5680 (2020).
- 488 30. L.-J. Huang, M.-J. Chen, C.-H. Lai, H.-T. Hsu, C.-H. Lin, New Data Processing Equation to
  489 Improve the Response Time of an Electrochemical Concentration Cell (ECC) Ozonesonde.
  490 Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 15, 935–944 (2015).
- 491 31. H. Vömel, D. E. David, K. Smith, Accuracy of tropospheric and stratospheric water vapor
  492 measurements by the cryogenic frost point hygrometer: Instrumental details and
  493 observations. J. Geophys. Res. 112, D08305 (2007).
- 494 32. M. Kovilakam, T. Deshler, On the accuracy of stratospheric aerosol extinction derived from 495 in situ size distribution measurements and surface area density derived from remote SAGE 496 II and HALOE extinction measurements. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres* 120, 8426–8447 497 (2015).

- 498 33. H. H. Jonsson, *et al.*, Performance of a Focused Cavity Aerosol Spectrometer for
  499 Measurements in the Stratosphere of Particle Size in the 0.06–2.0-μm-Diameter Range. *J.*500 *Atmospheric Ocean. Technol.* 12, 115–129 (1995).
- 501 34. J. I. Gmitro, T. Vermeulen, Vapor-liquid equilibria for aqueous sulfuric acid. *AIChE J.* 10, 740–746 (1964).
- 35. H. M. Steele, P. Hamill, Effects of temperature and humidity on the growth and optical
  properties of sulphuric acid—water droplets in the stratosphere. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 12, 517–528
  (1981).
- S. L. Clegg, P. Brimblecombe, Application of a Multicomponent Thermodynamic Model to
  Activities and Thermal Properties of 0–40 mol kg<sup>-1</sup> Aqueous Sulfuric Acid from <200 to</li>
  328 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 41, 1530–1530 (1996).
- 37. A. Tabazadeh, O. B. Toon, S. L. Clegg, P. Hamill, A new parameterization of H<sub>2</sub> SO<sub>4</sub> /H<sub>2</sub>
  S10 O aerosol composition: Atmospheric implications. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 24, 1931–1934
  (1997).
- 512 38. E. Washburn W., Ed., *International critical tables of numerical data, physics, chemistry* 513 and technology (McGraw-Hill, 1928).
- M. Kulmala, A. Laaksonen, L. Pirjola, Parameterizations for sulfuric acid/water nucleation
  rates. J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 103, 8301–8307 (1998).
- 40. L. Oca, J. M. Campillo-Robles, M. M. Bou-Ali, Review and Analysis of Thermophysical
  Properties of a Sulfuric Acid–Water Electrolyte. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 63, 3572–3583 (2018).
- 41. M. D. Petters, S. M. Kreidenweis, A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth
  and cloud condensation nucleus activity. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.* 7, 1961–1971 (2007).
- Jack Alf Goff, S. Gratch, Low-pressure properties of water from -160 to 212 °F in
  (Transactions of the American Society of Heating and Ventilating Engineers, 1946), pp.
  95–122.
- 523 43. D. A. Yuen, *et al.*, Under the surface: Pressure-induced planetary-scale waves, volcanic
  524 lightning, and gaseous clouds caused by the submarine eruption of Hunga Tonga-Hunga
  525 Ha'apai volcano. *Earthq. Res. Adv.* 2, 100134 (2022).
- 44. C. F. Bohren, D. R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles*, 1st
  Ed. (Wiley, 1998) https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527618156 (September 23, 2022).
- 45. B. J. Sumlin, W. R. Heinson, R. K. Chakrabarty, Retrieving the aerosol complex refractive
  index using PyMieScatt: A Mie computational package with visualization capabilities. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* 205, 127–134 (2018).
- 46. A. E. Bourassa, *et al.*, Tomographic Retrievals of Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apai Volcanic
  Aerosol. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 50 (2023).

533 534 535

### 536 Figures

537



### 538

539

Figure 1. Vertical profiles of calculated bulk aerosol properties between January and June 2022
(from POPS size distribution data), including mass mixing ratios (a) and ambient extinction
using Steele and Hamill (35)(b), both of which use the legend in panel (a), and vertical profiles
of the measured aerosol size distributions from TR<sup>2</sup>Ex launches when the fresh HT-HH aerosol

545 of the measured aerosol size distributions from TK Ex launches when the fresh H1-HH aerosol 544 plume was encountered (c, d, e, f). Aerosol size distributions ( $dN/dLogD_p$ ) for the four TR<sup>2</sup>Ex

545 soundings (c, d, e, f) use the color scale in panel (c).

546





- 549 S in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  mass mixing ratios based on aerosol size distributions, when both instruments
- measured the volcanic plume with low uncertainties (a-c), and a summary of the S column mass in SO<sub>2</sub>, the S column mass in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$ , and the total S column mass ([S in SO2] + [S in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$ ]
- 551 in SO<sub>2</sub>, the S column mass in en2SO<sub>4</sub>, and the total S column mass ([S in SO<sub>2</sub>] + [S in en2SO<sub>4</sub>] observed within the plume (d). Shaded areas and error bars show the uncertainty in SO<sub>2</sub> sonde
- measurements ( $\leq 20\%$ ) and the uncertainty in calculated eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> mass mixing ratios and S mass
- including possible error related to aerosol sizing and aerosol density.



**Figure 3.** Contour plots of the  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol column (g m<sup>-2</sup>), calculated using the equation in the Fig. S4a caption, for 5 days from Jan. 18 to Jan 26 (a-e). On Jan. 22, the gray shaded area

shows the maximum plume extent between Jan. 21 - Jan. 23 as determined from MLS H<sub>2</sub>O

anomalies at 21 hPa. Locations of HT-HH and La Réunion are marked with a cross and star,respectively.

562





564 **Figure 4.** A time series of the calculated S burden in  $_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol, calculated using a 565 combination of POPS measurements and OMPS-LP retrievals (a) and the estimation of the  $\tau_{strat}$ 566 (b). The calculated S burden in  ${}_{e}H_{2}SO_{4}$  aerosol (black line) represents the relationship between calculated ambient sAOD and the mass, and agrees with estimates of the S injected as SO<sub>2</sub> from 567 satellites (7, 8) (pink shaded region). The uncertainty (black shaded region) represents the range 568 of assumptions considered in Figure S4a. The approximated S mass in the higher altitude part of 569 the plume, west of La Réunion on Jan. 23 00 UTC is also shown. OMPS-LP Dashed vertical 570 571 lines depict the period of eH<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> aerosol accumulation. The S burden from this period is used to calculate the stratospheric lifetime of SO<sub>2</sub>,  $\tau_{\text{strat}} = 1/k$ , as shown in equation 3 (Methods 572

- Section 3). Assuming an SO<sub>2</sub> injection of 0.205 Tg S in SO<sub>2</sub> (7, 8) k = 0.10 (r<sup>2</sup> = 0.87; p < 1.23E-6; 1 standard deviation of the slope is  $\pm 0.011$ ), suggesting a  $\tau_{strat}$  for SO<sub>2</sub> of ~ 10 days (b). 575