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Abstract

In April 2007, the Dolomieu caldera collapse was one of the most outstanding events of the last few decades at Piton de la Fournaise volcano. Forecasting the occurrence of such a destructive event is difficult but since then, the development of tools and monitoring networks has improved our knowledge of the dynamics of volcano instability. However, the precise location of volcano failure is still hard to constrain. In this paper, we show that reiteration of self-potential (SP) measurements taken at a one meter step-size along a 440-m-long profile prior to caldera collapse brings valuable insights on the most instable areas around the Dolomieu crater. SP signal dynamic reveals information not visible on one single SP acquisition. In particular, the SP dynamic highlights the presence of low cohesion/low strength materials at depth despite a lack of surface expression. Our survey at Piton de la Fournaise showed that preferential failure area can be precisely identified, at the meter scale. These results highlight the relevance of SP reiteration measurements as a tool for locating instabilities in both volcanic and non-volcanic environments.
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1 Introduction

Summit caldera collapses are common features of basaltic to silicic volcanoes that can occur repeatedly throughout their evolution and are commonly associated with large eruptive crises. Summit calderas are defined as kilometric to pluri-kilometric subcircular depressions formed by the vertical collapse of the summit roof caused by shallow magma reservoir withdrawal during lateral eruptions [Lipman 2000; Kumatagi 2001; Cole et al. 2005; Kaneko et al. 2005]. In the past, several caldera collapses have been reported, including Fernandina volcano (Galápagos Islands) in 1968 [e.g. Simkin and Howard 1970], Miyake-jima volcano (Japan) in 2000 [e.g. Geshi et al. 2002], Piton de la Fournaise (La Réunion) in 2007 [e.g. Michon et al. 2007; Staudacher et al. 2009] and more recently Kilauea (Hawaii) in 2018 [e.g. Neal et al. 2019]. Caldera formation is usually guided by preexisting structures such as ring fault systems and fractures around the summit and can occur as one main collapse event or several incremental collapses [e.g. Piton de la Fournaise Michon et al. 2007]. Due to interaction between hydrothermal and magmatic systems during collapse events, summit caldera formation is often associated with phreatic and phreatomagmatic eruptions that eject tephra and gases up to several hundred to thousand meters high. In inhabited areas, risk assessment related to caldera collapse is therefore of major importance. Yet, occurrence, timing, and magnitude of such events are difficult to constrain and are therefore hardly anticipated. In this paper, we did not focused our survey on the temporal aspect of caldera collapse but on the spatial and geometrical features of such phenomenon. To do this, we used data of a Self-Potential (SP) reiteration profile close to the rim of the summit Dolomieu crater of the Piton de la Fournaise volcano installed before its collapse during the March–April 2007 eruption. The SP method records variations of electrical potential at the subsoil associated with groundwater circulations. High permeability areas (such as fracture systems), constitute preferential pathways for fluids and are commonly
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characterized by low strength, low cohesion material. Our results show that SP mapping combined with SP reiteration can provide valuable information about the structural behavior of calderas and may significantly help in assessing associated hazards. We therefore propose the SP method as a tool for the detection of areas of mechanical weakness on volcanoes, more prone to failure during caldera collapse.

2 Background

Piton de la Fournaise is a basaltic shield volcano of La Réunion Island, active since at least 540 ka [e.g. Merle et al. 2010]. The summit cone is located within a U-shaped depression, the Enclos Fouqué caldera, opened to the sea toward the east [Merle and Lénat 2003; Oehler et al. 2004; Michon and Saint-Ange 2008]. Most current intrusive activity and eruptions occur within the Enclos Fouqué caldera [e.g. Villeneuve and Bachèlery 2006; Roult et al. 2012]. Three main rift-zones are identified: a NE rift-zone coupled with a SE rift-zone centered on the central cone, with outward terminations curved toward the east, and a shorter rift-zone axis orientated N120°E, east of the cone (Figure 1A) [e.g. Bachèlery 1981; Michon et al. 2009]. The summit of the Piton de la Fournaise terminal cone is topped by two craters, the Bory and Dolomieu craters, to the west and east, respectively. Following the summit eruption of August–December 2006, the Dolomieu crater was completely filled in by lavas (Figure 1D). On March 30th, 2007, an eruption started on the SE flank of the volcano, with a first eruptive fissure located at 1900 m a.s.l. [Staudacher et al. 2009; Fontaine et al. 2019] and the eruption lasted until May 1st. The dimension of the new caldera, 1030 m × 870 m [Staudacher et al. 2009], is close to the previous size of the Dolomieu crater, suggesting that the collapse was mostly controlled by a preexisting fault system [Michon et al. 2007].

3 Self-potential surveys at Piton de la Fournaise

Self-Potential is a passive method measuring the distribution of natural electrical potential at the ground surface associated with a deep source of current. Various sources can generate a difference of electrical potential but in volcanic environments, the SP signal is related to groundwater flow and mainly generated by the coexistence of two mechanisms: electrokinetic and thermoelectric couplings [Corwin and Hoover 1979; Revil et al. 2003]. Electrokinetic coupling (or streaming potential) results from fluid pressure gradient while thermoelectric coupling results from temperature gradient. Electrokinetic coupling is considered to be the main source of the SP signal on volcanoes since the thermoelectric effect is very low in porous media [Corwin and Hoover 1979]. Under steady state conditions, the groundwater flow itself is controlled by soil permeability, capillary pressure and pressure of the water phase. The SP signal is generally positive in the flow direction so that uprising fluids are commonly associated with positive anomalies [Revil 2002]. SP is thus sensitive to upward or downward fluid flow and permeability changes in the subsoil. It has been used on many volcanoes to constrain the extent of hydrothermal systems and the location of the main structural features draining the fluids [Finizola et al. 2002; Finizola et al. 2004; Revil et al. 2008; Bennati et al. 2011; Villasante-Marcos et al. 2014]. At Piton de la Fournaise, SP studies have been performed in the Enclos Fouqué caldera [Lénat 1987; Malengreau et al. 1994; Zlotnicki 1994] and on the summit cone [Michel and Zlotnicki 1998; Revil et al. 2003; Barde-Cabusson et al. 2012] (Figure 1B). These studies showed the relationship between high SP values and fluid circulation along the rift-zones. They proposed that the Bory and Dolomieu craters constitute the main hydrothermal release; their limits acting as major structural barriers for fluid circulation (Figure 1B). Barde-Cabusson et al. [2012] also identified a hidden preexisting fracture zone in the eastern part of the Dolomieu crater, which probably controlled the first stages of the collapse events in April 2007 (Figure 1B). Summit pit craters (Soufrière pit crater for example; Figure 1B) are also main fluid pathways connected to the central main craters, suggesting possible connections at depth.

4 Results

On March 2007, based on the detailed SP mapping performed in July 2006 [Barde-Cabusson et al. 2012], and applying the same strategy of SP reiteration performed on Stromboli volcano before and during the 2002-2003 eruptive crisis [Finizola et al. 2009], we installed a 440-m-long SP profile running east-west (Figure 1B, D, E), with benchmarks every meter (Figure 1C), in order to reiterate 440 SP measurements at precisely the same location. The aim to reiterate each SP measurement precisely at the same location is to be exactly influenced by the same rock environment. In that way, the high frequency SP signal, induced by rock heterogeneity, will be exactly the same for two data sets recorded at different...
ent periods. The location and orientation of this reiteration profile were guided by the highest SP variation identified on the SP map (northern rim of Dolomieu crater) [Barde-Cabusson et al. 2012, Figure 1B]. The SP reiteration profile extended between the Soufrière pit crater and the Dolomieu crater rim, crossing this highest SP anomaly. The reference electrode \( e_{\text{ref}} = 0 \text{ mV} \) was located in the area of lowest hydrothermal activity (i.e. lower SP values in that case) in the sector, at the eastern end of the profile (point \( x = 440 \text{ m} \)). Each SP measurement records the difference of potential (in mV) in the soil with respect to that reference. This area being less vulnerable to variations of SP signal, the temporal evolution of the SP signal in the active hydrothermal area is more easily identifiable. On volcano flanks, a linear inverse relationship between SP and altitude is commonly observed [Corwin and Hoover 1979; Zlotnicki and Nishida 2003] and is related to purely hydrogeological (as opposed to hydrothermal) zones. However, on active zones of volcanoes, SP tends to increase regardless of altitude variations, in relation with upward hydrothermal fluid flow. Our SP profile is located along the active summit crater of Piton de la Fournaise, and thus recorded SP anomalies marking the activity of the underlying hydrothermal system. Moreover, the altitude variation along the profile is only 17 m, thus the role of elevation on SP signal is negligible.

Self-potential measurements were made every me-
Culter along the profile using a pair of non-polarizable Cu/CuSO₄ electrodes and an insulated copper cable. The difference of electrical potential between the reference electrode and the moving electrode was measured with a high impedance voltmeter. At each station, we dug a small hole (few cm deep) and sprayed a small quantity of water to improve the electrical contact between the electrode and the ground. Resistance was always measured first, to check the good electrical contact, before acquiring SP data.

The first SP measurements along the profile were made on March 27th 2007, during a ten-day period of progressive increase of the seismicity at Piton de la Fournaise (120 volcano-tectonic earthquakes were recorded on March 27th). SP measurements were reiterated on March 30th, i.e. a few hours before the eruption started (end of measurements at 15h30 UTC, start of seismic crisis at 16h23 UTC, and start of eruption at 18h50 UTC). Six days after this last survey, the Dolomieu crater started to collapse. From the points 0 to 119 m, the benchmarks of the profile collapsed inside the new caldera; the fault constituting the failure surface was located a few centimeters from 120 m (precisely at 119.8 m ± 0.1 m). The SP signals obtained along the profile on March 27th and March 30th are shown in Figure 2A and display a similar pattern. From west to east, the general SP pattern is first an increase of the signal between 0 and around 85 m (from ~950 to +1150 mV), then a decrease of the signal between 85 m and 380 m (from +1150 to ~50 mV), followed by a stabilization of the SP signal between 380 and 440 m around 0 mV (±50mV). The maximum SP value was reached at point 84 m on March 27th (SP = +1116 mV) and at point 76 m on March 30th (SP = +1150 mV).

This positive SP anomaly corresponds to the eastern side of the NE rift-zone and the evolution of the SP signal from east (x = 0) to west is consistent with the SP map performed in 2006 [Barde-Cabussou et al. 2012], showing a rapid increase of the SP anomaly toward the west (Figure 1B). To highlight the changes in amplitude of the SP signal between March 27th and 30th, we have plotted the SP value difference between the two reiterations in Figure 2B. We applied several running averages (over 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11 points) on the raw data to filter out the high frequency signal associated with small wavelengths. By analyzing the differential between these two datasets using different running averages, we can extract (1) the first order, large wavelength anomaly from the general SP signal, and (2) the high frequency signal from the SP reiteration to evidence the areas mainly affected by highest increase in fluid flow and, by consequence, by higher permeability.

The difference of SP values, ΔSP, between the two profiles shows very small changes in amplitude from points 440 to 140 m. Along these 300 meters, ΔSP does not exceed 35 mV for most points. Only a narrow anomaly, ~10 m large, called anomaly E (i.e. East) is recorded between points 210 and 199 m. Conversely, from points 140 to 0 m, the SP signal shows a significant increase between March 27th and 30th, with two major anomalies, C and W (i.e. Central and West), and maximum differences of amplitude ΔSP at points 120 m and 79 m, respectively (Figure 2B). These maximum ΔSP values record the positions where the maximum variation of fluid dynamics occurred between the two dates, corresponding to the areas of maximum ground permeability. The location of these two anomalies is particularly interesting: (1) anomaly W, 40 m-wide, is perfectly correlated to the dimensions of the pre-collapse Soufrière pit crater (Figure 2C) and the highest ΔSP value of anomaly W is located closest to the center of the pre-collapse Soufrière pit crater; (2) the maximum difference in amplitude recorded in the raw data at anomaly C is located at 120 m, i.e. only a few centimeters from the collapsed area (located at 119.8 m ±0.1m, Figure 2B, C, and Figure 3A). When looking at the maximum ΔSP values obtained after data filtering, these are located between 121 and 125 m, only a few meters from the failure surface.

A close up look of anomaly C shows the presence of two main peaks in the raw data: peak C1 at 120 m (described above) and a second SP peak, C2, at 127 m (Figure 3A). In 2009, a major rockfall occurred in the area [Derrien et al. 2018], and once again, the limit of the collapse, located at 125.8 m ±0.1 m, is 1.2 m away from the measured C2 peak (Figure 3B).

5 Discussion and Conclusions

Several parameters, both of external and internal origin, can explain the dynamics of SP signal between the two reiterations. External processes mostly involve meteoric water infiltration and recharge of the hydrothermal system while internal processes mostly involve pressurization of the hydrothermal system by deep magma recharge in the plumbing system. Discriminating the implication of these different processes in the SP signal dynamic is difficult and is not the object of this paper. Indeed, whatever the cause of the SP signal dynamic, the most striking feature of these results lies in the spatial relationship between SP signal dynamic and the location of the unstable area along the rim of the Dolomieu crater. During caldera formation, the westernmost part of the SP profile collapsed into the caldera, from points 0 to 119 m. This part of the profile is also the one showing the highest SP anomaly and the largest dynamic. Moreover, the collapse occurred just a few centimeters away from the maximum SP difference ΔSP (x = 120 m). Where no or little SP signal dynamic was recorded, the rim of the Dolomieu crater did not collapse and remained stable over time. A secondary major rockfall occurred in 2009 in this sector and is, again, correlated to a ΔSP maximum. SP signal is sensitive to permeability variations at depth. High permeability also suggests the existence of low co-
hesion/low strength rocks, probably highly hydrothermally altered in such an active part of the edifice. Because of this mechanical weakness, the host rock is thus more prone to failure and the SP signal dynamic highlights the areas of future collapse.

The origin of the high frequency in the $\Delta$SP signal...
recorded between the two campaigns may be the result of large SP differences or cm-scale spatial SP variability. Indeed, as shown by Boudoire et al. [2018], changing the electrode position by a few centimeters between two measurements causes large SP variations related to soil heterogeneities at very small scale. During the March 27th and 30th measurements, the electrode may not have been positioned precisely at the same place near the benchmark, causing most of the recorded high frequency signal. In our case, data filtering allowed us to make the distinction between “real” SP signal dynamic (evidenced by the large wavelength anomalies E, C and W) from high frequency caused by methodological artefacts. A removable mark on the soil near the fixed benchmark (a stone that can be lifted and repositioned for example) would be a simple solution to avoid
such artefacts in future SP surveys.

One important concern in volcanology is to anticipate both timing and geometry of caldera collapse. Our results highlight the importance of reiterations in SP monitoring for the spatial assessment of caldera collapse. If SP data were recorded only once, the main anomaly W could have been seen, but anomaly C, which precisely prefigured the location of the collapse, would not have been identified. Are these SP anomalies related to structural features at the surface? SP variations on volcanoes are frequently associated with the presence of eruptive fissures, fractures and faults that concentrate fluid flow. High-resolution aerial photographs of the study area before the 2007 caldera collapse have been analyzed (Supplementary Material 1). They show that, at the emplacement of anomalies C and W, no fracture nor fissure were distinguishable in surface. Currently, it is the same for anomaly E, which is not superimposed on any fracture in surface. Additionally, post-collapse photographs of the cliff just below the post-collapse Soufrière pit crater reveal the presence of reddish, highly weathered materials associated with anomaly C (Supplementary Material 2), precisely where the collapse occurred.

SP monitoring can therefore reveal the presence of high permeability, low cohesion materials at depth, where no geological evidence is noticeable at the surface, and hence provides information about the most unstable areas of the edifice. The consistency between SP dynamics and the collapsed areas highlights the importance of such monitoring method to identify zones of mechanical weakness, independently from the surface fracture network. This method, which can be used in combination with other complementary techniques (e.g. IP surveys, [Revil et al. 2019]) to assess permeability changes, can applied to caldera collapse or flank failure on volcanoes but also to non-volcanic environments, threatened by landslides or any instability phenomena.
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