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A B S T R A C T

After a drastic decline in 1983, hydrothermal activity at La Soufrière lava dome (Guadeloupe, Lesser Antilles) has been progressively increasing in the summit area since 1992, raising the threat of a renewed eruptive activity. To better constrain the geometry of the hydrothermal system, an extensive high-resolution self-potential survey was performed on the dome and three multi-method profiles combining electrical resistivity tomography, self-potential, ground thermometry and soil CO2 diffuse degassing measurements were carried out to cover its south-ern periphery in January 2011. Results indicate that hydrothermal ascending flows are currently restricted to a proximal area including the dome and its very vicinity. The extension of hydrothermal alteration inferred from electrical resistivity tomography reflects the presence of a heat source just below the dome. A first-order correction of topography-related self-potential variations allows the identification of major hydrothermal fluid circula-tions pathways, as well as significant meteoric infiltration zones. Local shifting of hydrothermal fluids towards the dome periphery is favored by the presence of major axes. The regional La Ty fault appears as the major axis draining large volumes of hydrothermal and magmatic fluids. However hydrothermal activity remains con-fined inside a collapse structure surrounding the dome, that formed in the last 9000 years as a result of recurrent edifice collapses, the latest occurring at the onset of the 1530 AD eruption. The combination of these qualitative results with structural analysis leads to a synthetic model of magmatic and hydrothermal fluids circulation inside the dome, which may be useful for the assessment of potential hazards associated with a renewal of fluid pres-surization, and a possibly associated partial flank-failure.

1. Introduction

The presence of well-developed hydrothermal systems on active volcanoes has been recognized as a major hazard factor for several reasons. Hydrothermal circulation usually alters and weakens the internal parts of volcanic edifices, increasing the risks of instability (López and Williams, 1993; Vallance and Scott, 1997; Reid et al., 2001; Komorowski, 2008). Hydrothermal systems are unstable energy trans-fer systems: therefore, modifications of heat fluxes within the edifice can result in pressurization triggering phreatic explosions (e.g. Lube et al., in press) or favoring partial edifice collapse along low strength layers with higher fluid pore pressure and associated reduced friction (Reid et al., 2001; Komorowski, 2008). Additionally, hydrothermal sys-tems can interact with magma, giving rise to highly explosive phreato-magmatic dynamisms. From these different perspectives, the hydrothermal system geometry and evolution appear as key parameters to better constrain volcanoes potential behavior and associated hazards. At La Soufrière volcano (Guadeloupe), flank collapse has been recog-nized as a repetitive phenomenon along the history of the volcano (Komorowski et al., 2002, 2005; Boudon et al., 2007; Komorowski, 2008; Legendre, 2012) and the major role of extended hydrothermal alter-tation in these events has been evidenced by recent works on the
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associated debris avalanche deposits (Salatün et al., 2011). The implication of the hydrothermal system has been proven in many eruptions, from phreatic crises to cataclysmic phreato-magmatic events (11500 BP, 3 100 BP; Boudon et al., 1992). Since the first descriptions in 1635 AD, this hydrothermal system has undergone many fluctuations, alternating between resting periods characterized by residual hydrothermal activity, fumarolic reactivations, and phreatic eruptions sometimes rather violent (Komorowski et al., 2005; David, 1998, and references therein). The last phreatic crisis, in 1976–77, resulted in the evacuation of 70,000 inhabitants of the island and a large scientific controversy on the presence of an eventual magmatic component, pointing out the lack of data available for hazard assessment and forecasting (Feuillard et al., 1983; Komorowski et al., 2005; Hincks et al., 2014).

La Soufrière is located just 5 km north to the town of Saint-Clau’d (10 000 inhabitants). Currently active, the volcano has been monitored through seismological, deformation (tiltmeters, GPS, extensometers, distancemeters) and geochemical (gas, fluid, spring, flux, and temperature analyses) networks since 1950 (Observatoire Volcanologique et Sismologique de Guadeloupe; OVSG managed by Institut de Physique Globe de Paris). After a drastic decline in 1983, hydrothermal activity has been slowly and progressively increasing in the summit area since 1992, raising the threat of a new eruption. In the last decade, the Guadeloupe Volcanological and Seismological Observatory (OVSG-IPGP) has managed this hypothesis.

In the recent years, significant efforts have been made to characterize the geophysical structure of the dome (Nicollin et al., 2006; Coutant et al., 2012; Lesparre et al., 2012; Lesparre et al., accepted for publication) and the new structural data available at the time. On the basis of new data and analytical techniques, Villemant et al. (2005) combined with the existence of permanent heat sources on the dome and its surroundings highlight the presence of a well-developed hydrothermal system, as a result of an enormous rainfall rate (7–10 m.y.−1) on the summit area; OVSG-IPGP, 1999–2013; Villemant et al., 2005) combined with the existence of permanent heat sources at depth. The dome is cut by numerous fractures (Fig. 2; Nicollin et al., 2006; Lesparre et al., 2012) mainly radial to the summit. Most of the main fractures opened during historic phreatic eruptions (1690, 1797–98, 1809–12, 1836–37, 1956 and 1976–77; Boudon et al., 1988; Komorowski, 2008). The north–south fractures, Fente du Nord to the north and La Ty fault to the south-east of the dome, correspond to a system of regional active tectonic faults crossing the dome (Fig. 1; Feuillet, 2000; Feuillet et al., 2002; Mathieu et al., 2013). The La Ty fault intersects the base of the dome and can be followed in the landscape up to Ravine La Ty and the south-western flank of La Citerne cone (Figs. 1, 2). Five major explosion craters are present at the summit of the dome: Tarissan, Dupuy, Napoleon, Cratère Sud, and Cratère 1956 (Fig. 2). During the latest eruptions, explosive phreatic activity mostly affected the south-eastern part of the dome, but some of the northern structures were also active albeit to a lesser extent (Fig. 2). During the last phreatic eruption in 1976–1977, explosive activity, which started on the eastern flank by reactivating the pre-existing 1956 fractures, led to the opening of two new large fractures on July 8th to the east and on August 30th to the south-east of the dome (Fig. 2). Activity then migrated to the summit area where it was concentrated at the central Tarissan crater. Throughout the eruption, water vapor and acid gases mixed with non-juvenile blocks and ash were emitted at a high flux from the Tarissan crater (Feuillard et al., 1983; Komorowski et al., 2005). The 1976–1977 eruption has been interpreted as a stillborn magmatic or failed magmatic eruption by Feuillard et al. (1983) with the data available at the time. On the basis of new data and analytical techniques, Villemant et al. (2005), Boichu et al. (2008, 2011), and Ruzié et al. (2012) have confirmed this hypothesis.

After the 1976–1977 eruption, a decline of fumarolic activity was observed both on the summit and at the base of the lava dome (notably by the disappearance in 1984 of the Col de l’Echelle fumaroles along the eastern end of July 8th fracture). Only minor degassing still remained along the La Ty fault (Zlotnicki et al., 1992). Since 1992, a slow and progressive increase of fumarolic degassing has been observed. The reactivation of the summit fumaroles began at Cratère Sud in 1992 (Zlotnicki et al., 1992), at Napoléon crater in 1996–1997 and then at Tarissan crater in 1997 (OVSG-IPGP, 1999–2014; Komorowski et al., 2001, 2005). More recently, fumarolic activity resumed at Cratère 1956 in 2007 and two new fumaroles appeared in the summit area in December 2011 and October 2013 (Figs. 1, 2). The renewal of fumarolic activity is associated with HCl-rich and H2S acid gas emissions (volcano observatory reports) and, at the SW base of the volcano, with a slow rise of temperatures at three acid-sulfate thermal springs (Villemant et al., 2005). In parallel, the volcano observatory has recorded since 1992 a progressive increase of shallow low-energy volcanic-tectonic earthquakes (OVSG-
The increase of the hydrothermal activity since 1992 and associated seismic unrest is not currently associated with any clear signs of magma ascent at shallow depth (deep seismicity with upward migrating hypocenters, or significant ground deformation). Nevertheless, there is clear evidence for the magmatic origin of chlorine-rich fluids feeding hot springs and the marked summit degassing that began in 1998 (Komorowski et al., 2001, 2005; Villemant et al., 2005; Li et al., 2012).

3. Methods

In order to better constrain the geometry of past and present hydrothermally active zones beneath the dome, we have simultaneously undertaken electrical resistivity tomography, self-potential, temperature and soil degassing surveys (Fig. 3). The entire acquisition was completed in less than a month (January–February 2011) under overall constant weather conditions.
3.1. Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT)

The data acquisition was performed with a multi-electrode ABEM system (Terrameter SAS4000) driving cables equipped with 64 plugs to connect grounded stainless steel electrodes. The multi-electrode system possesses a relay matrix switcher, which chooses the two electrodes used to inject the electrical current in the ground and the two electrodes to measure the electrical potential. The acquisition followed Fig. 2. Zoom on La Soufrière dome. Location map of the main structures, the thermal sources, the active and past fumaroles. Locations and names of areas mentioned in the text are also reported. WGS84 geodetic system, UTM20N projection (m). After Feuillard et al. (1981), Boudon et al. (1988), Komorowski et al. (2005), Nicollin et al. (2006), Komorowski (2008).
a Wenner protocol, providing a good signal-to-noise ratio, and a good sensitivity to vertical resistivity contrasts. Every measurement was repeated several times (stacking) and the standard deviation (error) was calculated. The set of cables used in the field operations have an electrode spacing of 15 m, providing a total length of 945 m and a maximum depth of investigation of about 150 m. The total length can be increased by roll-along processes.

After the acquisition, resistivity data was first filtered on the basis of quality criterions. Secondly, artifacts in the signal generated by surface heterogeneities were removed with the help of X2IPI commercial software (See Appendix A). Resistivity data was then inverted using RES2DINV commercial software (Loke and Barker, 1996), using a smoothness-constrained method to perform the inverse problem. This software, developed for environmental geophysics, has been widely used in different kinds of geological environments and notably volcanoes (e.g. Finizola et al., 2006; Revil et al., 2008; Byrdina et al., 2009; Revil et al., 2011; Barde-Cabusson et al., 2013; Portal et al., 2013). Electrode positions were obtained by GPS measurements, and elevation was deduced from a one-meter resolution Digital Elevation Model of the dome. The topographic profile was included in the inversion to produce 2D-pseudosections.

Given that precise ERT had already been carried out on the dome itself (Nicollin et al., 2006), we concentrated our effort on the S-SW peripheral zone where fumarolic activity on La Ty regional fault is persistent since the end of the eighteen century. Three main profiles have been carried out: a 945-m long profile between Savane à Mulets (SAM) and col de l’Échelle (CDE), a 2430-m long profile between the north of Piton Tarade (NPT) and the eastern flank of l’Échelle cone (EFE), and a 2925-m long profile between the eastern flank of l’Échelle cone (EFE) and the south of trace de l’Armistice (STA; Fig. 3). ERT results should, as much as possible, be compared with other geophysical techniques to ensure that deep structures revealed on the profiles are not

![Fig. 3. Location of the profiles carried out during the January 2011 field campaign. Self-potential profiles alone are in blue, profiles combining self-potential and CO2 are in cyan, profiles combining self-potential, CO2, and ground temperature are in green, Electrical Resistivity Tomography profiles are in magenta. Others symbols are the same as in Fig. 1. WGS84 geodetic system, UTM20N projection (m). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)](image-url)
artifacts resulting from data processing itself, and to distinguish ambiguities in the interpretation of the 2D resistivity cross sections.

3.2. Self-potential (SP)

During the campaign, more than 10 km of SP profiles were carried out, with an electrode spacing of 5 m (along the ERT profiles) to 10 m (around the dome; Fig. 3). Self-potential (SP) measurements were performed with a pair of non-polarizing Cu/CuSO4 electrodes. The difference of electrical potential between the reference electrode (at one extremity of the profile) and the moving electrode was measured with a high-impedance voltmeter (0.1 mV sensitivity) and a 300 m-long insulated copper cable. We measured the electrical resistance together with the self-potential in order to check the signal quality. The electrical resistance between the two electrodes should always be ten times smaller than the internal impedance of the voltmeter (Corwin and Hoover, 1979). In our case, the electrical resistances were systematically comprised between 1 and 200 kΩ, so largely smaller than the internal impedance of our high-impedance voltmeter (10 MΩ).

In purely hydrogeological environment (i.e. areas not affected by hydrothermal convection), a clear inverse and linear relation has often been observed between SP and elevation variations (Corwin and Hoover, 1979; Jackson and Kauahikaua, 1987; Aubert et al., 1993; Finizola et al., 2004). The parameters of this relation are notably dependent on the type of rocks, kind of fractures, etc. The origin of this relation has been explained by various models (e.g. Zablocki, 1978; Fournier, 1983). In all cases, the variations of the SP signal reflect the variations of thickness of the unsaturated zone. In 1996, Aubert and Antagana have proposed an interpretation of SP variations in terms of CPS surface calculation (see Appendix B). Added to this general tendency, it has been observed that negative peaks locally highlight main infiltration zones (e.g. Finizola et al., 2002). On volcanic areas, numerous surveys have reported the presence of positive SP anomalies (a few hundred to a few thousand mV) around areas affected by fumarolic activity and temperature anomalies (Zlotnicki and Nishida, 2003; Ishido, 2004; Revil et al., 2008; Finizola et al., 2009). Ascending hydrothermal fluids are believed to be the primary cause of these anomalies. This is due to the fact that most minerals in volcanic environments are characterized by a negative zeta potential (e.g. Aizawa et al., 2008; Aizawa, 2008). In rare cases, the precipitation of secondary minerals may cause the inversion of the zeta potential, and reverse the sign of anomalies related to ascending fluxes (e.g. Guichet et al., 2006; Jouiniaux et al., 2009).

X-ray diffraction was performed on hydrothermal clays sampled in La Ty fault and near Cratère Sud, and revealed the presence of kaolinite, illite and smectite. As the pH of hydrothermal fluids on the dome and peripheral hot springs (OVSG; Ruzié et al., 2013) is comprised between 2 and 6, the zeta potential most probably remains negative and the sign of anomalies is not reversed (Chorom and Rengasamy, 1995; Hussain et al., 1996; Yuktelsen and Kaya, 2003; Saka and Güler, 2006; Yuktelsen-Aksoy and Kaya, 2010).

Typically, the SP signal on La Soufrière volcano reflects a combination of different contributions: topography, upward and downward local circulations. In previous surveys (1987, Pham et al., 1990; 1992, Zlotnicki et al., 1994; 2000, Zlotnicki et al., 2006), these contributions are taken into account qualitatively for interpretation. By looking at the SP/elementation gradient, we tried to detect the contribution of elevation-dependent SP variations on the dome sector. We can therefore propose a "corrected SP", in which remaining anomalies would reflect either hydrothermal fluxes (positive anomalies) or preferential infiltration zones (negative anomalies; Fig. 4). Additionally, local variations of the SP signals were interpreted in terms of superficial water-saturated zones along multi-methods profiles (Figs. 5, 7; Appendix B).

3.3. Temperature

Temperature was measured with thermal probes placed at 30 cm-depth with a spacing of 15 m (see Finizola et al., 2003). The temperature profiles provide an independent way to see the extension of the hydrothermal body near the ground surface, and therefore complete the information derived from SP.

3.4. Soil CO2 degassing

Soil CO2 diffuse degassing measurements were performed with a 15 m spacing. The detail of the methodology is described in Chiodini et al. (1998). Soil gas samples were taken at 30 cm depth by pumping with a syringe through a tube of copper (2 mm in diameter). CO2 concentrations were obtained as a difference of potential after calibration of an infrared photoelectric cell (IR spectrometer). Data distribution was then analyzed by a general statistical method detailed by Sinclair (1974), in order to detect the presence of Gaussian populations among the entire data set. The major part of the samples appears to belong to a single population characterized by a very low mean concentration (1400 ppm), corresponding to the contribution of the biological processes added to atmospheric CO2. A minor but significant part of the samples is characterized by much higher concentrations (reaching 91%). These samples are considered anomalous, reflecting the contribution of magma degassing.

4. Results

4.1. SP map of the dome

Fig. 4A shows the results of the SP survey on the dome. Given its variability along profiles in this sector, the elevation parameter probably has a significant influence on SP variations. Indeed, our set of data, as well as previous SP surveys (Pham et al., 1990; Zlotniki et al., 1994), shows a major positive anomaly at the base of the dome, and major negative anomaly on the very summit (Figs. 2, 4A), which is exactly what can be expected from a topographic effect. In addition, if the zeta potential is negative as observed on most volcanoes, the coexistence of a major negative anomaly and a major fumarolic zone on the summit area can hardly be explained. Thus, topography contribution to the signal needs to be distinguished from other effects by analyzing the SP-to-elevation gradient behavior. Although La Soufrière has a probably complex structure in terms of permeability, resistivity distribution and hydrological geometry (Zlotnicki et al., 1994), a first-order negative correlation between SP and elevation can be estimated from the whole data set, and an average value of $-0.55$ mV/m can be subtracted to the original SP data (Fig. 4). The idea of a general behavior of the SP signal regarding elevation is supported by recent studies suggesting a common geochemical background for all thermal springs around the dome (Ruzié et al., 2013; Allard et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014). The general topographic effect may be attributed to a deep, and more or less tabular aquifer. Elevation corrected SP map (Fig. 4B) offers at least a partial correction of a significant parameter that undoubtedly hides short wavelength anomalies related to water circulation, and provides a better correlation with observations on the summit area. A positive anomaly on the south-eastern part of the summit (DSum +) matches the fumarolic area between Tarissan crater, Cratère 1956 and Cratère Sud. Another positive anomaly on the lower part of the south-western flank (DSW +) may indicate hydrothermal circulation in this part of the dome, that has not yet been affected by phreatic or fumarolic activity at the surface. Negative anomalies on the northern flank and on the southern border of the dome indicate that these areas are dominated by descending fluxes of meteoric fluids. Pink stars within these areas or outside (DSum −, DNE −, DLac −, DCS −, DA30 −, DSE −, DS1 −, DS2 −, DS3 −, DS4 −, PT −) are located negative peaks observed on SP profiles, reflecting preferential infiltration pathways. Indeed, these
peaks are generally correlated to the presence of fractures: DSum− coincides with Fente du Nord, DLac− with Lacroix fracture, DC5− and DSE− with Cratère Sud fracture, and DA30− with August 30th fracture. Other peaks are mostly located at the intersection with gullies.

4.2. Detailed multi-method profiles

4.2.1. Dome and proximal vicinity

Profile “SAM-CDE” joining Savane-à-Mulets to Col de l’Echelle intersects the major axes affected by the latest phreatic eruption (Fig. 5). On this profile, the root mean squared error (RMS) of the ERT section is 14.9% and calculated resistivity values range from a few tens to a few thousand ohm.m. SP values range from −110 to +90 mV and CO2 concentration values in soil gas are below 25,000 ppm. The south-western part of the ERT profile reveals high resistivity values (>1000 Ωm).

The main feature of this profile is the presence of a vertical limit (at about 270 m from the beginning of the profile and extending to a depth of ~70 m), with a sharp resistivity gradient, in coincidence with a negative SP anomaly (DS4−). This limit, located on the dome border, separates a resistant medium (~500 Ωm) to the south-west from a conductive one (~50 Ωm) to the north-east. The dome is globally made of very low resistivity material, thought extreme values (<15 Ωm) bordering the contrast are poorly constrained according to the sensitivity study of this profile (“depth of investigation” — DOI, in Appendix A). A more resistant layer (a few hundred ohm.m), about 20 m-thick, lies above this conductive body.

The SPS surface (Fig. 5B) calculated from SP variations (Appendix B), that may represent the upper limit of the water-saturated zone, supports the idea that the conductive body is saturated in fluids. Smallscale anomalies such as DS2−, DS3− and DS4− represent the maximum infiltration zones in the global negative anomaly at the south of the dome (Fig. 4). The two negative peaks DS2− and DS3− (~500 mV) are in perfect correspondence with the location of two north–south gullies at the surface (Fig. 5A).

The western part of the ERT profile “SAM-CDE” is common with the ERT profile joining the north of Piton Tarade (NPT) to the eastern flank of L’Echelle cone (EFE) (RMS 16.5%; Fig. 6). Nonetheless, this common portion being further from the extremity in the ERT profile “NPT-EFE”, we have access to a greater depth. The same resistive pattern can be observed on the two profiles indicating coherence between different acquisition results and limited edge effects on the ERT profile “SAM-CDE”. The superficial zone of high resistivity, including Piton Tarade and with a typical thickness of 70 m, is lying on top of a very conductive layer (resistivity <50 Ω.m). This system of horizontal layers is interrupted to the east by a 100 m-wide vertical conductive axis corresponding to La Ty fumarolic area, with comparably low resistivity values. Even if the Wenner array is less sensible to horizontal resistivity contrasts than to vertical, the presence of a conductive axis is supported by a major thermal and CO2 anomaly (Fig. 6) with a thermal flux of 275 W/m2 (Gaudin et al., 2013).

Upwelling of hydrothermal fluids is apparently not associated with any significant SP anomaly in this area. This may be explained by the very high conductivity of the material within the fault, or by the fact that the zeta potential may be close to zero (in response to secondary mineralization and very acidic fluids) in this particular zone. A sharp vertical resistivity contrast, up to the maximum depth of the tomography profile (~170 m), separates this western domain from a globally resistive eastern domain. This boundary is located in the vicinity of collapse limits (1530 AD collapse and minor sector collapse of L’Echelle cone). In the eastern part of the profile (beyond the collapses scars), resistivity values vary from a few hundred to a few thousand ohm.m. Being located rather far away from the dome, this portion is considered to belong to a distal domain (see Section 4.2.2).

4.2.2. Southern peripheral zone

The eastern part of ERT profile “NPT-EFE” is a globally resistant domain in comparison to dome-proximal sections (Fig. 6). In this portion of the profile “NPT-EFE”, no temperature or CO2 anomaly can be found. Resistivity values range from a few hundred to a few thousand ohm.m, with almost no values inferior to 100 Ω.m. The DOI study (Appendix A) indicates that resistivity variations in the central part of the profile are poorly constrained. To the very east of the profile, conductive bodies (a few hundred ohm.m) CN2 and CN3 reach the surface.

The ERT profile “STA-EFE” (RMS 10.02%) joins the south of Armistice path to the eastern flank of L’Echelle cone (Fig. 7). Results on the eastern portion, common with ERT profile “NPT-EFE”, confirm the presence of a system of vertical moderately conductive bodies including CN2, CN3 (already detected on the profile “NPT-EFE”) and a third one, CN1, on the eastern part of L’Echelle–La Citerne complex. These axes seem to be related at depth to a conductive body extending westward with depth. The superficial structure of La Citerne western flank is dominated by resistant material cut by three relatively sharp vertical moderately conductive axes named CW1, CW2, and Ga that reaches the surface in coincidence with the Galion River bed (Fig. 7). Temperature and CO2
exhibit no anomaly. SP signal exhibits large wavelength variations with a minimum and a maximum peak respectively coinciding with the maximum elevation and the Galion River bed (minimum elevation). Interpretation of these variations in terms of SPS surface variations globally matches the fluctuation of the resistivity contrast at depth, indicating that conductive bodies may be saturated in hydrologic fluids.

5. Interpretations and discussion

The combined analyses of electrical resistivity tomography, self-potential, ground thermometry and soil CO₂ diffuse degassing measurements allow us (1) to image the hydrothermal circulations inside La Soufrière dome, (2) to characterize the main structural axes in the southern periphery of the dome, and (3) to better define the hydrogeologic system of the L’Echelle–La Citerne complex.

5.1. Model of hydrothermal circulations inside La Soufrière dome

Results of the ERT survey tend to show that hydrothermal flows are confined to the dome and its vicinity. Indeed, the profiles (Figs. 5, 6, 7) display a wide range of resistivity values that reflects variations of water content and alteration level of the andesitic material constituting the volcano. Very low values in the order of magnitude of tens of ohm.m are limited to the dome sector (proximal area), and can be interpreted as hydrothermally altered material (Figs. 5, 6). On the other hand, further from the dome (distal area), variations from a few hundred to a few thousand ohm.m are typical of resistivity variations in hydrologic systems (Figs. 6, 7).

The state of hydrothermal alteration within the dome, supported by various studies (Nicollin et al., 2006; Lesparre et al., 2012; Lesparre et al., 2014), is in agreement with gravity and magnetic data, suggesting that

Fig. 5. (A) Location of the profile “SAM-CDE”, each blue point corresponds to the location of an ERT electrode (every 15 m). (B) Self-potential (in mV), and soil CO₂ concentration (in ppm) measurements and Electrical Resistivity Tomography section from RES2DINV (in ohm.m) along the profile. Supposed SPS surface (potential water-saturated zone upper limit) is superimposed on the ERT section. Yellow points on the tomogram benchmarks in the field spaced of 75 m. Pink stars represent negative self-potential anomalies. DS2 − , DS3 − , DS4 − , DE – refer to anomalies discussed in the text. TSAM and CDE are the location of the boreholes drilled in the 1968 survey (Feuillard, 1976). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
the dome is made of low density (about 1800 kg.m$^{-3}$) extensively altered material (Gailler et al., 2013) as well as numerous conduits, wide fractures, and craters. Large conductive bodies at depth (around a few tens of ohm.m) to the north and to the south-east can be related to the presence of phreatic fractures and craters at the surface (Fig. 2). These conductive reservoirs and related fractures are currently associated to local negative SP anomalies at the surface visible on Fig. 4 (e.g. DS2 — on Fente du Nord, DLac — on fracture Lacroix). Fig. 5 suggests these water saturated bodies may lie just below the surface. Whereas they probably play a major role in explosive phreatic crises, they may be sealed during inter-eruptive periods. These reservoirs constitute a major threat in the case of a continuing reactivation of the hydrothermal activity.

Most of the hydrothermal ascending fluxes, highlighted by the presence of fumaroles, SP positive anomalies (Fig. 4B), and high values of ground temperature and CO$_2$ soil diffuse degassing, are also concentrated on La Soufrière dome itself. This strongly suggests the presence of a heat source located just below the dome. Hydrothermal fluxes on the summit area are centered on Cratère Sud and Tarissan crater, in accordance with the presence of major fumaroles there since respectively 1992 and 1997 (Figs. 2, 4B). These fluxes have recently started to expand ca. 200 m away from the dome center along the east-oriented 1956-July 8th fracture (see 12/2011 and 10/2013 fumaroles on Figs. 1 and 2; OVSG-IPGP, 1999–2014). These fluxes are certainly driven inside the dome by a central conduit: evidence of low resistivity and low density material around this zone (Nicollin et al., 2006; Lesparre et al., 2012) is the signature of hydrothermal alteration. Probable ascending flows beneath the south-western base of the dome correspond to a recognized low density zone at depth (Gunawan, 2005; Coutant et al., 2012). Even if most areas affected by past and current fumarolic activity are located on the dome itself, some fumaroles can nevertheless be found in its proximal vicinity along major radial lineaments. This is the case for the formerly active Colardeau fumaroles in the direction of Fente du Nord (Co; Figs. 1, 2), the Chaudières and Soufleur fumaroles on the July 8th fracture (Ch, S), and the currently active fumaroles (LT) on La Ty fault, which was recognized as a regional structure (Feuillet et al., 2002). This suggests a strong control of the main radial structures on the hydrothermal flux distribution outside of the dome. The La Ty fumarole is characterized by large temperature and CO$_2$ anomalies (Fig. 6), showing that this deep major accident conducts a significant part of hydrothermal and magmatic fluids towards the periphery of the dome. As illustrated by Petrillo et al. (2013) and Byrdina et al.
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**Fig. 6.** (A) Location of the profile “NPT-EFE”, each blue point corresponds to the location of an ERT electrode (every 15 m). (B) Temperature (in °C), self-potential (in mV), and soil CO$_2$ concentration (in ppm) measurements and Electrical Resistivity Tomography section from RES2DINV (in ohm.m) along the profile. Yellow points on the tomogram benchmarks in the field spaced of 150 m. Pink stars represent negative self-potential anomalies DS2 —, DS3 —, DS4 — refers to anomalies discussed in the text. TSAM and CDE are the location of the boreholes drilled in the 1968 survey (Feuillard, 1976). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
(2013), the shift of the hydrothermal system towards the periphery may result from a complex interaction between the depth of the heat source, the topography of the edifice and the permeability distribution. Fig. 8 gives a synthetic view of fluids circulation inside the dome. Hydrothermal ascending fluids are concentrated inside the dome and propagate laterally away from the dome along major accidents. The lateral extension of hydrothermal ascending fluids outside the dome seems delimited by a peripheral limit. A major deep vertical resistivity contrast (at least 170 m-deep) has been recognized on the profile “NPT-EFE” between La Soufrière and L’Echelle and corresponds to the limit of the most recent edifice collapse structure that surrounds the dome, and that was last reactivated at the onset of the 1530 AD eruption (Boudon et al., 2008). This structure, which cuts through the western part of the l’Echelle cone (Fig. 6), is probably the result of several collapse episodes occurred during the last 9150 years (Komorowski et al., 2008a; Legendre, 2012). The role of the collapse structures as fluid guides towards the surface is corroborated by the location of minor but persistent fumaroles such as Morne Mitan and Ravine Claire (MM, RCh, RCs; Figs. 1, 2). Additionally, the past Colardeau fumaroles, located at the intersection of the major Fente du Nord fracture and the northern edifice collapse limit on the Amic dome (Figs. 1, 2), also suggests a control by the 1530 AD collapse structure on the lateral extension of ascending hydrothermal fluids to the north.

The north-eastern part of the ERT profile “SAM-CDE” shows the conductive material constituting the dome is covered by a relatively thin resistant layer (~20 m-thick; Fig. 5). Observations from a 79 m-deep borehole drilled in 1968 close to Col de l’Echelle (CDE, see Figs. 5 and 6 for location of the CDE and TSAM boreholes) revealed the presence of highly hydrothermalized andesitic material between 79 and 31 m depth, in agreement with the low resistivity values of the ERT section. On top of this material, lapilli and moderately altered ashes constitute a more resistant superficial layer. Part of this superficial material probably results from a lahar formed by mixing of water that was emitted by the resurgence of a perched aquifer through the July 8th fracture, with cold block-and-ash flow material explosively vented contemporaneously from the same fracture on July 8th in 1976 (Feuillard, 1976; Feuillard et al., 1983; Boudon et al., 1988; Komorowski et al., 2005; Feuillard, 2011). The ERT profile “NPT-EFE” shows the shallow levels
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of the southern rim of the dome are made of relatively unaltered material, represented by a ~70 m-thick resistant layer under the surface (Fig. 6). This resistant material, including Piton Tarade and extending up to La Ty fault to the east, lies over a very conductive layer of hydrothermally altered material (resistivity < 50 Q.m). This resistivity distribution well matches the overall succession of lithologies described in the 97 m deep TSAM borehole carried out in 1968 (Feuillard, 1976) in which massive – yet fractured and variably altered – andesite was found from the surface to 80 m deep, resting on top of strongly altered anodesites. The horizontal limit between the two domains on the ERT section suggests a depositional contact between the two layers (Fig. 6). The deep conductive material seems to correspond to a conductive layer imaged by ERT under a large part of the dome (Nicollin et al., 2002, 2005; Boudon et al., 2007; Legendre, 2012). The geometry of this basal and probably impermeable layer, inclined towards the south, with a top reaching approximately 1100 m above sea level on the ERT section “NPT-EFE” (Fig. 6), makes it a good candidate to guide descending fluids towards peripheral sources located along roughly the same 900–1000 m isocontour, as suggested by geochemical tracing of meteoric water (Bigot et al., 1994; Komorowski, 2008; Lesparre et al., 2012). This layer was interpreted by Komorowski (2008) and Lesparre et al. (2012) as a low strength layer corresponding to the listric surface over which the edifice has recurrently collapsed. It is likely constituted by a sequence of sheared hydrothermally altered clay-rich debris-avalanche deposits from the successive events.

The contact between the resistant superficial layer and the dome itself (ERT profile “SAM-CDE”; Fig. 5) appears as a permeability barrier combining a strong resistivity contrast and a negative SP anomaly (DS4 –). Its shallow vertical extension (~70 m) and curved shape suggest it might represent the northern edge of an edifice collapse. A lava flow sample found within the top resistant material (58 m deep; Samper et al., 2009) and dated at 94 ka supports the hypothesis of an old lava flow remobilized during an edifice collapse event. This material is in continuity with Piton Tarade (Fig. 6) which is probably identified as a perched Toreva block (Komorowski, 2008). Indeed, field inspection of a moderate rockslide from Piton Tarade reported the deposits originat-ed from an unusually shattered lava with local clastic fabric of subangular to subrounded blocks coated with hydrothermally altered material (Komorowski, 2008). This fabric, similar to the ones observed in the TSAM borehole and described by Feuillard (1976), is characteristic of allochtonous blocks that would have detached from a former edifice and slid on a short distance.

In terms of current fluid circulation, the superficial resistant layer to the south of the dome is cut by north–south oriented gullies coinciding with SP negative anomalies (DS1 −, DS2 − and DS3 − on gullies 1 and 2, Figs. 5, 6) that indicate preferential meteoric infiltration. These gullies are located on the bulging area of the dome (Figs. 2, 5). They are found above highest resistivity values, suggesting their location may be guided by superficial cavings. Given the north–south direction of these gullies, they might be the expression of minor faults, parallel to regional structures such as La Ty fault. Meteoric fluids infiltrated inside the dome most probably mix with a significant part of hydrothermal fluids that does not reach the surface, and may be guided above the conductive deep layer towards the south-west to feed eccentric thermal springs (Fig. 8). These springs are mostly located on the 900–1000 m isocontour interval that would form the intersection of the plane of the deep low strength conductive layer with topography.

5.2. Characterization of the main structural axes in the southern periphery of the dome

La Ty fault is described in literature as a major north–south, practically linear, normal fault intersecting the dome (Feuillet et al., 2002) through the August 30th fracture and Fente du Nord (Figs. 1, 2). Previous field observations suggested a nearly vertical fault, possibly eastward dipping according to the apparent subsidence of the eastern part of La Soufrière lava dome relatively to the western part (Feuillet, 2000; Feuillet, personal communication, from morpho-tectonic obser-vations). This fault is undoubtedly recognized by a major fumarolic area in the very vicinity of the dome where anomalies of temperature and soil CO₂ concentration characterize a major deep accident. This fumarolic zone is located at the intersection between “Route de la Citerne” pathway and a hydrothermalized crest emphasized in the landscape by
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**Fig. 8.** Synthetic and simplified sketch map of fluids circulation inside La Soufrière dome.
the presence of outcropping altered material. This north–south linear crest (Axis “La Ty 1” in Fig. 9) is thought to represent the La Ty fault trace at the surface and is consistent with eastward dipping. This trace is a few hundred meters long and vanishes southward. Structural observations suggest the existence of two other parallel north–south axes. The first one (Axis “La Ty 2” in Fig. 9) is defined in its northern part by Ravine Claire, a valley parallel to Axis “La Ty 1” (Figs. 2, 9). The curved shape of its southward extension across La Citerne western flank evokes a westward dipping accident. Two transverse lineaments T1 and T2 suggest the existence of deformation between “La Ty 1” and “La Ty 2”, which would be consistent with a conjugate normal fault system. A third axis “Galion” is defined by the relatively straight Galion river bed, also emphasized by the Galion thermal spring (Figs. 1, 9). This axis, recognized as a fault by Boudon et al. (1988), probably extends across the dome through the non-radial gully 1. Two more lineaments might represent the extension of the “Galion” axis to the north of the dome (Fig. 9).

The idea of three distinct and parallel axes is consistent with ERT profile “STA-EFE” showing three conductive axes crossing La Citerne western flank (Fig. 7): Ga is clearly connected to the Galion river bed, and CW1 and CW2 might be respectively related to structural axes La Ty 1 and La Ty 2 (Fig. 9). CW1, CW2 and Ga do not show any anomaly related to hydrothermal circulation; and apparent positive SP peak coinciding with Ga (Fig. 7) is thought to be a local topographic effect. Low resistivity values suggest that, far from the dome, these structural axes constitute preferential infiltration zones for meteoric waters (Fig. 9).

Although regional tectonics suggest a unique segment for the La Ty regional normal fault, further observations indicate that the extensional system may be more complex on a local scale and that normal movement may have been accommodated by a relay of parallel and conjugated faults. This local tectonic complexity probably favored the formation of several fractures on the south-eastern portion of the dome during recent historical explosive eruptions characterized by significant...
hydrothermal fluid overpressure, in 1956 and especially in 1976. These
dynamic processes coupled with extended acid alteration from
prolonged hydrothermal activity have certainly affected the dome me-
chanical properties.

5.3. Hydrologic system of the L’Echelle–La Citerne edifices

The ERT profile “STA-EFE”, as well as the eastern part of the ERT pro-
file “NPT-EFE”, can be considered as distal from La Soufrière dome
(Fig. 3). The lack of very low resistivity values can be linked to the ab-
sence of hydrothermal alteration in this sector (Figs. 6, 7). Variations
of resistivity, ranging from a few hundred to a few thousand ohm.m,
can be interpreted in terms of lithology and hydrologic systems. As
L’Echelle and La Citerne are considered as relatively homogeneous sco-
ría cones with a few minor localized lava flows (Boudon et al., 1988),
coupling of ERT and SP data leads to interesting hypotheses concerning
their hydrogeological system structure. The eastern parts of ERT profiles
“NPT-EFE” and “STA-EFE” exhibit conductive axes (CN1, CN2, CN3;
Figs. 6, 7) reaching the surface. The eastern flank of the L’Echelle cone and
the northern part of La Citerne most probably constitute a major infiltra-
tion zone for meteoric fluids. These axes are connected to a relatively su-
perficial massive conductive body interpreted as a major perched aquifer,
common to the two edifices, and dipping south-west at depth (Fig. 7).
This interpretation is consistent with SP data along the profile, and the
calculation of the GPS surface more or less matching the limit of the con-
ductive body at depth. In the central parts of the profile, vari-
ations of this limit is not well-constrained by the ERT section which is
not deep enough and exhibits high DOI indexes (Appendix A). Other
signs in that zone corroborate the existence of an aquifer, such as the
presence of the Flammarion lake occupying the Citerne crater (Fig. 1),
and the lithology of the Citerne tuff cone itself with phreato-magmatic
deposits, implying the presence of an aquifer or a lake during its termi-
nal phase.

Future hydrologic surveys might be able to determine the origin of the
aquifer shape, which could be hosted in low-strength layers
resulting formed by the slip surface of paleo-collapse events on an edifi-
ce that predated the formation of the L’Echelle and Citerne scoria cones
or from older debris avalanche deposits from the Carmichael edifice
dated at ca. 13,400 yrs B.P (Komorowski et al., 2005; Legendre, 2012).

6. Conclusion

This paper proposes an integrated analysis of electrical resistivity to-
mography, self-potential, ground thermometry and soil CO2 gas mea-
surements on La Soufrière lava dome and its southern periphery. The coupling of these independent methods strengthens their reliability and brings further constraints on the relationships between superficial
structures, hydrothermal, and magmatic fluid circulation. Results have
highlighted that ascending flows are restricted to a proximal domain in-
cluding the dome and its very vicinity. ERT suggests that lateral exten-
sion of the hydrothermal system, and resulting alteration, could be
contained by a combination of several structural limits such as the
axes of major fractures/faults and the boundaries of sector collapses sur-
rrounding the dome. Beyond these limits, the southern distal periphery
shows no sign of hydrothermal circulation. Coupling of ERT and SP
have revealed a complex system of perched small-volume aquifers
under L’Echelle and La Citerne scoria cones.

Since 1690 AD, four of the six phreatic eruptions and most hydro-
thermal activity have affected the south-eastern part of the dome as
well as the central summit area. Presently, the dominant ascending
flux of hydrothermal and magmatic fluids is responsible for the reacti-
vation of fumaroles on the summit area around Cratère Sud since
1992, followed by Tarissan crater. A sustained (although gradually diminish-
ing) ascending flux of hydrothermal and magmatic fluids oc-
curs along the surface expression of the La Ty normal fault at the
south-eastern base of the dome. Shallow-depth hydrothermal fluid
circulation can be further investigated using high-resolution self-
potential measurements on the dome. Significant topographic influence
leads us to propose a first order correction of general SP/elevation grad-
ient, resulting in an elevation-corrected SP showing good agreement
with the observed summit hydrothermal activity. Elevation-corrected SP
also highlights sub-superficial hydrothermal activity affecting the
south-western border of the dome and a zone of major infiltration for
meteoric fluids at the southern base. These fluids would mix with as-
cending hydrothermal fluids to form a ring of hot springs (Villemant et al., 2005), many of which are located along roughly the same
900–1000 m isocountour and relatively far away from the dome itself.
These hot springs are thought to mark the fluid flow along the SW dip-
ing listric surface of the paleo-edifice collapse structure. This structure
is composed of impermeable hydrothermally altered clay-rich deposits
as seen in debris avalanche deposits around the volcano (Komorowski et al., 2005; Komorowski, 2008; Salatín et al., 2011). Comparison with
previous SP and ERT campaigns (Pham et al., 1990; Zlotniki et al.,
1994; Nicollin et al., 2006) indicates a relative stability of the whole hy-
drothermal system geometry over time, but this does not exclude po-
tential significant changes in case of a future eruption. Structural
observations suggest that regional east–west extension (Feuilliet, 2000; Feuilliet et al., 2002) has most probably resulted in a complex system of several parallel north–south faults, which consistently corre-
late with the orientation of major infiltration axes in the southern distal
domain of the dome. Extension of these structural lineaments and pres-
surization of pore-fluids along these structures might trigger future ex-
plosive phreatic activity. They also might favor partial flank failure of the
dome already highly fractured to the south-east, and lying on top of a
thick layer of highly altered rock saturated in fluids where friction and
pore-fluid pressure might exceed the threshold of mechanical stability.
A future unrest associated to a large surface deformation, as it was ob-
served in the south-eastern region of the dome during the 1976–77 still-
born magmatic eruption (Feuillard et al., 1983; Komorowski et al.,
2005; Villemant et al., 2005; Boichu et al., 2011), could easily generate such
flank instability.
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Appendix A. ERT data processing

The reliability of final resistivity distribution models is first depend-
ent on the quality of the data. To avoid data noise, three types of
tests were carried out before the acquisition in the field, including the
continuity of the cable, its insulation, and the contact between the
ground and the electrodes. When needed, the contact was improved
by the addition of salty water and bentonite.
Every apparent resistivity corresponds to the mean value of five measurements (stacking). A data error estimate, calculated from the standard deviation of these measurements can be used for further data filtering. On the one hand, a manual filter was applied in order to remove the data characterized by a low signal to noise ratio. Excluding criterions are a measured electrical potential difference inferior to 1 mV and an error superior to 5% of the value (Fig. A.1). Few points were excluded, corresponding to 0.6% of the data set for ERT profile “SAM-CDE”, 0.3% for the profile “NTP-EFE“ and less than 0.1% for the profile “EFE-STA”. The remaining random noise can be taken into account during data inversion.

Before the inversion though, a second filter was applied using X2IPI software. Indeed, the presence of strong heterogeneities in the shallow levels generates artifacts in the measured signal. These artifacts are responsible for inclined anomalies named “C-effect”, in the case of a Wenner array, and hide smoother effects linked to large and deep objects. They were removed in order to recover clearer 2D responses linked to deep and large heterogeneities (Fig. A.2).

Data inversion was performed with RES2DINV (Loke and Barker, 1996) which uses the smoothness-constrained method (Constable et al., 1987) to perform the inverse problem:

\[
(J^T J + \alpha F) d = J^T g - \alpha Fr.
\]  

(F is a smoothing matrix, J is the Jacobian matrix of partial derivatives, r is a vector containing the logarithm of the model resistivity value, \(\alpha\) is the damping factor, \(d\) is the model perturbation vector, and \(g\) the discrepancy vector (i.e. the differences between observed data and the
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**Fig. A.1.** Distribution of the ERT data from “SAM-CDE” profile in a graph of the measured difference of potential versus the stacking error. The two filtering criterions are indicated in red. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Fig. A.2.** Effect of data filtering under X2IPI in profile “SAM-CDE”. The removed part of the signal corresponds to artifacts related to the surface resistivity contrasts.
model response). The magnitude of this vector is given by a root-mean-squared value (RMS). The algorithm starts from an initial model (reference model) and seeks to reduce this quantity to find a better model after every iteration.

Data noise estimates may be included in the inversion process. In this case, the least squares equation (A.1) is modified to the following one:

\[ \left( J^T W_d J + \alpha F \right) d = J^T W_d d - \alpha F r \]  

(W_d is a diagonal weighting matrix incorporating the effect of data errors: data points with smaller errors are given a greater weight in the inversion process.

Non-uniqueness of the solution is an inherent problem of ERT. For the same data set, there are several possible resistivity models that fit the data equally well. The use of a smoothness constraint and a limited number of iterations (inferior 5) conform to the commonly accepted principle which states that simpler models must be preferred to more complex ones to explain a given data set. At iteration 5, profiles “SAM-CDE”, “NPT-EFE” and “EFE-STA” exhibit respective RMS values of 14.9%, 16.5% and 10.0% (Fig. A.3) attesting that models responses are in good conformity with acquired data sets.

A study of the depth of investigation (DOI) was carried out by the method proposed by Oldenburg and Li (1999) and Marescot and Loke (2003). This method is based on the comparison of models calculated from two different values of the reference resistivity (defining the initial model), \( q_A \) and \( q_B \). In our case, \( q_A \) and \( q_B \) correspond to \( 10q_0 \) and \( 0.1q_0 \), where \( q_0 \) designates the reference resistivity used for the original model.

Fig. A.3. ERT profiles associated to DOI (depth of investigation) index maps providing a sensitivity estimate of provided models. Where DOI index is low, the model is well constrained (high sensitivity).
inversion of the data set. The DOI index value for a model cell is given by:

\[ R_D(x, z) = \frac{q_B(x, z) - q_A(x, z)}{q_A - q_B} \]  

(A.3)

\( q_A(x, z) \) and \( q_B(x, z) \) are the values of resistivity obtained in the cell after 3 iterations of the inversion process. In areas where the DOI index approaches zero, the two inversions give the same resistivity values, meaning that the model is well constrained by the data set (good sensitivity). In areas where the index approaches unity, the model is not constrained by the data (poor sensitivity). In the case of "SAM-CDE" ERT profiles (Fig. A.3), the model can be trusted until 100 m below the surface approximately (index < 0.3). For the "EFE-NPT" profile, sensitivity is good in the western part corresponding to the dome base and La Ty areas, in spite of strong resistivity contrasts (Figs. G, A.3). Resistive structures in its central part (corresponding to L’Echelle edifice) are not well constrained. On profile "EFE-STA", sensitivity is good except in the very central part of the profile, to the east of the Galion river bed, from 100 m-deep.

Appendix B. SPS surface calculation

In areas exhibiting a high ratio between the resistivities of the unsaturated zone and the water-saturated zone, and in the case of an homogeneous unsaturated zone, Aubert and Atangana (1996) argue that a linear correlation exists between the range of negative SP anomalies and the thickness of the unsaturated zone. They introduce the notion of SPS surface, the equipotential SP surface corresponding to the interface between the unsaturated zone and the saturated medium. The altitude \( H \) of the SPS surface is calculated from the following equation:

\[ V(x, y) = h(x, y) - \frac{V(x, y) - V'}{L}, \]  

(B.1)

\( V(x, y) \) and \( h(x, y) \) are the values of self-potential (mV) and altitude (m) at the station \( (x, y) \), and \( V' \) and \( E' \) are the self-potential and thickness of the unsaturated zone at the reference station. According to Aubert and Atangana (1996), the coefficient \( L \), generally comprised between 1 and 10 m/V, depends on the resistivity and the type of porosity of the unsaturated zone, and on the resistivity ratio between unsaturated and saturated zones. \( L \) is not known a priori, but may be calculated from piezometric surfaces in boreholes for example.

SPS surface calculation was applied to profiles "SAM-CDE" and "EFE-STA" (portion to the east of the Galion River) considering firstly that the medium constituting the unsaturated zone is more or less homogeneous in both cases, and that SP signal is not disturbed by major vertical fluid flows along these profiles. Such approximations cannot reasonably apply to the case of the "NPT-EFE" profile. Qualitatively, SPS surface variations resemble the variations of strong resistivity contrasts evidenced in the ERT sections. With \( L \) coefficients, resistivity contrasts resemble the variations of strong resistivity contrasts evidenced by:

\[ \delta h(x, y) = \delta q \frac{V(x, y) - V'}{L}, \]  


